The role of intra-luteal factors in the control of the porcine corpus luteum
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In this paper we review three intra-luteal factors and their roles in the corpus luteum (CL). Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I, together with its receptor and IGF-binding proteins (IGFBPs), represent an important control system in the CL. IGF-I is a product of small luteal cells and has steroidogenic (i.e. luteotrophic) actions on large luteal cells via the type I receptor, while IGFBPs (e.g. BP-2 and 3; small cells) generally inhibit IGF-I's actions. IGF-I is particularly important in early CL development (up to day 7 of the oestrous cycle) in the pig. Tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α is a product of luteal macrophages that infiltrate CLs in increasing numbers as the cycle progresses. TNF-α has been shown to play an important role in luteolysis, but we hypothesise that in the pig, this factor plays an additional role during the mid-luteal phase (days 7-13) in promoting the acquisition of luteal sensitivity to the luteolytic actions of prostaglandin (PG)F₂α (= luteolytic sensitivity; LS). Endothelin (ET)-1 is a product of (luteal) endothelial cells, and along with its receptors (ETₐ and ET₇) and endothelin-converting enzyme (ECE)-1, represent an intra-luteal system that also plays a role in luteolysis, in association with PGF₂α. Since TNF-α induces endothelial cells to secrete ET-1, we hypothesise that ET-1 mediates the sensitising effects of TNF-α on the porcine CL during the mid-luteal phase (days 7-13). Finally, we hypothesise that TNF-α and/or ET-1 act to up-regulate luteal protein kinase C (e.g. isoforms βII and ε) activity and thereby sensitises luteal cells to PGF₂α.

Introduction

Studies carried out almost 40 years ago clearly demonstrated that porcine corpora lutea (CLs) are capable of developing, functioning and regressing in the absence of pituitary gonadotrophins (Anderson et al., 1967). Thus, our research focus has been on the role of intra-luteal factors in controlling these important processes. We first examined the insulin-like growth factor, IGF-I, as a luteotrophic factor in early luteal development and function. In more recent studies, we have investigated tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α and endothelin (ET)-1, both of which are involved in luteolysis. However, we explored these factors for their possible roles in the acquisition of luteal sensitivity to PGF₂α (luteolytic sensitivity = LS). In this chapter, the
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literature documenting the roles of IGF-I, TNF-α and ET-1 in the CL is reviewed, and we present data and models to illustrate our hypotheses concerning the roles of these intra-luteal factors in the control of the porcine CL.

Insulin-like growth factor

**IGF-I**

IGF-I mRNA expression has been demonstrated in the CLs of several species including the pig (see Miller et al., 2003 for refs). In the cow IGF-I mRNA levels were greatest on days 1-4 of the cycle and then declined (Einspanier et al., 1990; Schams et al., 2002). In ovine CLs, IGF-I mRNA expression increased from days 3-6 and remained unchanged for the rest of the cycle (Juengel et al., 1997). IGF-I peptide was identified in both small and large bovine luteal cells and showed little variation during the oestrous cycle (Amselgruber et al., 1994).

In our studies in the pig, we have shown that IGF-I mRNA expression was higher in small than in large, luteal cells (Gadsby et al., 1996a; Fig. 1A), and was greater on days 4-6 than on days 10-16 of the oestrous cycle (Gadsby et al., 1996a). Intra-luteal levels of IGF-I peptide were also significantly elevated on day 4 versus 7-16 of the oestrous cycle (Ge et al., 2003).

**IGF-IR**

IGF-I exerts its biological effects via the type I IGF receptor (IGF-IR) (Valentinis and Baserga, 2001). IGF-IR has been detected in the CLs of the several species (see Miller et al., 2003 for refs). In the bovine CL, IGF-IR mRNA expression was greatest on days 1-4 than on later stages of the oestrous cycle (Schams et al., 2002).

In the pig, IGF-IR mRNA expression was significantly greater in large, compared with small, luteal cells, and was lowest in CLs during the early oestrous cycle, increasing to reach maximal levels on day 16. IGF-IR protein concentrations were also greater in large luteal cells (3-85 fold compared with small luteal cells), and were highest during the early (days 4-10) compared with later stages (day 12-16) of the cycle (Ge et al., 2000).

**IGF-I actions**

IGF-I stimulated luteal progesterone secretion in vitro in several species including the pig (see Miller et al., 2003 for refs; Ptak et al., 2003). IGF-I also increased luteal progesterone secretion when administered in vivo in the cow (Sauerwein et al., 1992). IGF-I acts to increase luteal steroidogenesis by elevating steroidogenic acute regulatory (StAR) protein concentrations (Balasubramanian et al., 1997; Mamluk et al., 1999).

We examined the steroidogenic response of CLs to IGF-I at different stages of the oestrous cycle in vitro and in vivo (Miller et al., 2003). Porcine luteal cells were cultured with increasing doses of IGF-I or the IGF-I agonist, long-R3-IGF-I, and the data obtained indicated that: 1) steroidogenesis was dose-dependently increased by IGF-I or long-R3-IGF-I in large, but not small, luteal cells (confirming Yuan and Lucy, 1996); 2) luteal cells taken only from days 4 and 7 of the cycle, were sensitive to IGF-I and long-R3-IGF-I (confirmed by Ptak et al., 2003); and 3) the actions of IGF-I on the porcine CL were mediated via the PI-3 kinase pathway. Furthermore, IGF-I infused locally into the ovarian vasculature in vivo on days 6-7 of the oestrous cycle, acutely (1-2 hour) stimulated progesterone secretion.
Fig. 1 Localisation of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 mRNAs in porcine luteal cells by in situ hybridisation. CLs were collected on day 7 of the oestrous cycle, enzyme-dissociated and centrifuged onto slides. A. IGF-I (40X magnification), B. IGFBP-3 (40X). Small cells are the primary sites of mRNA expression for IGF-I and BP-3. Arrows indicate positively labelled cells. L = large luteal cells (large pale staining cytoplasm with dark pink nuclei), S = small luteal cells (steroidogenic or non-steroidogenic).
IGFBPs

The IGFBPs are thought to modulate the biological actions of the IGFs (Baxter, 2000) and there are several reports of mRNA and protein expression in the CL of IGFBPs 1-5 (see Ge et al., 2003 for refs). In the bovine CL, IGFBP-3 concentrations were highest during the early oestrous cycle (Brown and Braden, 2001; Schams et al., 2002). IGFBP-3 specifically, was shown to localise to endothelial cells of the luteal microvasculature in rodent, primate and porcine CLs (see Ge et al., 2003 for refs; Wandji et al., 2000).

In our studies in the pig CL, we have demonstrated IGFBPs 2-5 mRNA (Gadsby et al., 1996a; Fig. 1B) and protein (Nicholson et al., 1999; Ge et al., 2003) expression, predominantly in small luteal cells (Gadsby et al., 1996a; Fig. 1B). While the concentrations (protein) of IGFBPs 2, 4, and 5 did not vary significantly during the oestrous cycle, IGFBP-3 concentrations were at their highest on days 4 and 7 (Ge et al., 2003). We also demonstrated dose-dependent inhibitory effects of IGFBP-3 on IGF-I stimulated progesterone secretion (Ge et al., 2003). Furthermore, luteal cells cultured with an IGFBP-3 antibody displayed dose-dependent stimulation of steroidogenesis, further supporting an inhibitory role for IGFBP-3 in the porcine CL (Ge et al., 2003). IGFBP-2 and -3 show similar inhibitory effects in the bovine CL (Brown and Braden, 2001).

IGF-I Summary — Model of IGF-I action in the pig (Fig. 2)

Fig. 2 Model illustrating the role of IGF-I in regulating the porcine CL during the “IGF-sensitive period” (~days 4-7). IGF-I originating from small luteal cells (probably steroidogenic; SSC) acts on IGF-R on large luteal cells (LLC) to activate the PI-3-kinase pathway and progesterone synthesis via increased StAR. IGFBP-3 secreted from endothelial cells (EC) inhibits IGF-I binding to its receptor.

Overall, these data strongly suggest that during the IGF-I sensitive period (up to day 7), IGF-I (probably from small steroidogenic cells; SSC) has paracrine actions on large luteal cells (LLC) via IGF-IR, PI-3-kinase and up-regulation of StAR, to stimulate steroidogenesis. This model also
illuminates how IGFBPs (e.g. BP-3) from endothelial cells (EC) act in a paracrine manner to inhibit the action of IGF-I on LLC. While our studies have focused on steroidogenesis, IGF-I also blocks apoptosis and stimulates cell proliferation in various cell types (Valentinis and Baserga, 2001) including ovarian steroidogenic cells (Chakravorty et al., 1993; Westfall et al., 2000; Ptak et al., 2004).

**Tumour necrosis factor-α**

Tumour-necrosis factor (TNF-α) is a pro-inflammatory cytokine produced by resident tissue macrophages (MacEwan, 2002). Luteal macrophages accumulate in the CL towards the end of the oestrous cycle and thus the role of TNF-α in luteal regression has been studied extensively (Pate and Keyes, 2001).

**TNF-α**

The mRNA expression of TNF-α did not vary as the oestrous cycle progressed in the bovine CL (Petroff et al., 1999; Sakumoto et al., 2000), but was elevated in response to PGF<sub>2α</sub> treatment at mid-cycle (Neuvians et al., 2004). TNF-α protein secretion increased in late luteal phase CLs (Sakumoto et al., 2000), and in CLs whose progesterone secretory activity had begun to decline (Shaw and Britt, 1995). Recently, the number of luteal macrophages (or monocytes) were found to increase significantly between early and mid (days 6–12) cycle, raising the possibility that in the cow these cells (or their cytokines) may have effects on the CL before luteolysis (Townson et al., 2002).

Likewise in the pig, the number of luteal macrophages secreting TNF-α increased most dramatically (~4-fold) between the early (days 4–6) and mid (days 8–12) luteal phase, but also increased an additional 1-2 fold in the late luteal phase (days 14-18; Zhao et al., 1998), suggesting that TNF-α may have effects prior to luteolysis in the pig also.

**TNF-receptors**

TNF-α acts on target cells via TNF-receptors (TNFR) type 1 or 2. TNF-α can then activate multiple signalling pathways via the intracellular TNF-receptor associated factor (TRAF) proteins (MacEwan, 2002). Recent studies in the TNFR1 knockout mouse suggest that the luteolytic actions of TNF-α are mediated via TNFR1 (Roby et al., 1999).

TNFRI mRNA concentrations in the bovine CL were unchanged during most of the oestrous cycle, but increased ~3 fold in regressing and PGF<sub>2α</sub>-treated CLs (Friedman et al., 2000). TNFRI was found in all luteal cell sub-types but was most highly expressed in endothelial cells (Okuda et al., 1999; Friedman et al., 2000). In another study in the cow, the expression of TNFRI mRNA and protein were greatest during the early and mid-luteal phase CLs (days 3-12) and decreased in the late luteal phase CLs (Sakumoto et al., 2000).

In the pig, TNFRI receptors have been detected on porcine small and large steroidogenic luteal cells as well as endothelial cells. Luteal TNF-α receptor levels remained unchanged between days 4 and 12 of the oestrous cycle, but increased on day 15 (Richards and Almond, 1994a; Miyamoto et al., 2002).

**TNF actions**

In general TNF-α’s effects on luteal steroidogenesis in the cow and pig are inhibitory (Pate and Keyes, 2001). However, while low doses of TNF-α induced luteolysis in vivo, high doses stimulated the CL and delayed luteolysis in cows (Skarzynski et al., 2003a). In pigs, TNF-α inhibited LH or hCG-stimulated progesterone secretion, mainly in small steroidogenic luteal cells (Pitzel et al., 2004).
1993; Richards and Almond, 1994b; Pate and Keyes, 2001), although basal progesterone secretion by large luteal cells was also inhibited (Pitzel et al., 1993). In the rat, TNF-α inhibited luteal steroidogenesis via an inhibition of luteal LH receptor and STAR mRNA expression (Chen et al., 1999). In the pig, TNF-α has luteolytic actions because it inhibits oestradiol, which is luteotrophic in this species (Pitzel et al., 1993). TNF-α also stimulates prostaglandin (PGE\textsubscript{2}, PGF\textsubscript{2α} and 6-keto-PGF\textsubscript{1α}) production by bovine (Okuda et al., 1999; Sakamoto et al., 2000; Pate and Keyes, 2001) and porcine (Richards and Almond, 1994b; Miyamoto et al., 2002) luteal cells. It has been suggested that the luteolytic actions of TNF-α may be mediated by PGF\textsubscript{2α} in the pig (Richards and Almond, 1994b), but not in the cow (Pate and Keyes, 2001). In addition, TNF-α synergises with PGF\textsubscript{2α} to promote functional luteolysis in the pig both in vitro and in vivo (Pitzel et al., 1993; Wuttke et al., 1998). Finally, TNF-α induced apoptosis of bovine luteal endothelial cells (Pru et al., 2003), an effect that could be prevented by treatment of cells with progesterone (Friedman et al., 2000). However, TNF-α was not cytotoxic to steroidogenic luteal cells except in combination with interferon (IFN)-γ (Pate and Keyes, 2001).

**TNF-α Summary — Models**

**A. Role of TNF-α in de-sensitising the porcine CL to IGF-I:** As described above, porcine luteal cells lose their sensitivity to IGF-I on days 10-16 of the oestrous cycle, in spite of the elevated levels of IGF-IR on day 10 (Ge et al., 2000; Miller et al., 2003). Since the numbers and TNF-α secretory capacities of luteal macrophages increased ∼4-fold during this period (Zhao et al., 1998), we hypothesised that TNF-α may play a role in de-sensitising porcine luteal cells to IGF-I in vivo. In support of this hypothesis, TNF-α has been shown to block IGF-I signalling pathways in several different cell types (Urban et al., 1996; Venters et al., 2000; Shalita-Chesner et al., 2001). In our studies (Fig. 3), TNF-α dose-dependently inhibited the steroidogenic actions of IGF-I on porcine luteal cells in culture.

![Fig. 3 Inhibitory actions of tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α on IGF-I stimulated progesterone secretion by porcine luteal cells in vitro.](image-url)
Thus we propose a model (Fig. 4) in which macrophage-borne TNF-α decreases the sensitivity of porcine luteal cells to IGF-I, probably by blocking PI-3 kinase activation and up-regulation of StAR.

Fig. 4 Model illustrating the role of macrophage (Mac) - derived TNF-α in desensitising porcine luteal cells to IGF-I (between days 7-13). TNF-α acts via TNF-R on large luteal cells (LLC) to inhibit IGF-I actions at the post-receptor level (PI-3-kinase), leading to decreased StAR and progesterone secretion.

B. Role of TNF-α in sensitising the porcine CL to PGF_2α: In addition, since porcine CLs acquire luteolytic sensitivity (LS), during the IGF-insensitive period (days 10-16) we hypothesised that TNF-α may be involved in this process as well. To test this hypothesis, we examined whether priming of porcine luteal cells with TNF-α in vitro could increase their sensitivity to PGF_2α. Porcine luteal cells were cultured with TNF-α for 2 days (days 2-4 of culture), and then were challenged with 1 ng/ml PGF_2α on days 4-6 of culture. The data (Fig. 5) indicated that TNF-α sensitised luteal cells to the luteolytic actions of PGF_2α. Since TNF-α increased ET-1 secretion by luteal cells in vitro (Okuda et al.,1999; L. Rose and J. Gadsby, unpublished data), we hypothesise that ET-1 mediates the sensitising actions of TNF-α. As suggested below this sensitising action of TNF-α/ET-1 may involve up-regulation of protein kinase C (Fig. 6).

Endothelin

Endothelin-1

Endothelin (ET)-1 is a potent vasoconstrictive peptide originally described in the cardiovascular system, but which exerts important effects on the CL (Meidan and Levy, 2002). ET-1 is a 21
Fig. 5 TNF-α sensitises porcine luteal cells to PGF$_{2\alpha}$. Mixed porcine luteal cells (day 4 of cycle) were cultured for 2 days (days 2-4 of culture) with 0, 0.1, 1 or 10 ng/ml (n=6) TNF-α, and challenged with 0 or 1 ng/ml PGF$_{2\alpha}$ for 2 days (days 4-6 of culture). Data shown are representative of 3 separate experiments and are presented as ng/ml progesterone (measured on day 6 of culture). * Indicates significant difference (p < 0.05; ANOVA) at 0.1, 1 and 10 ng/ml TNF-α versus controls (no TNF-α) in the presence of 1 ng/ml PGF$_{2\alpha}$.

Fig. 6 TNF-α increases PKCe, PKCβII and ET$_A$ protein expression in porcine luteal cells in culture. Porcine luteal cells were cultured as described in Fig. 5, cellular protein extracted on day 4 of culture and examined by Western blot. Data show PKCe, PKCβII and ET$_A$ concentrations in response to TNF-α doses (0, 0.1, 1 or 10 ng/ml; 2-3 wells per treatment).
amino acid (aa) peptide that is synthesised as part of the precursor protein, pre-pro (pp)-ET-1 (212 aa), and which is proteolytically cleaved (via an endopeptidase) to generate the biologically inert Big-ET-1 (38 aa). Big-ET-1 is cleaved by endothelin-converting enzyme (ECE)-1 to produce ET-1 (Meidan and Levy, 2002).

In the cow, luteal ET-1 concentrations increased from the early to mid-luteal phase; this increase was thought to result from increased ECE-1 activity, since Big ET-1 concentrations declined at this time (Meidan and Levy, 2002). Expression of ppET-1 mRNA increased in the late luteal phase perhaps explaining increased ET-1 secretion during luteolysis (Meidan and Levy, 2002). In another study, ET-1 mRNA and peptide expression were high during the early luteal phase, declined in the mid and late luteal phase, and increased in regressing CLs (Berisha et al., 2002). It is not clear why these studies differed, but both showed an increase in ET-1 during luteal regression, pointing to its potential role in this process.

Endothelial cells are the primary source of ET-1 in the CL, as indicated by expression of ppET-1 mRNA (Meidan and Levy, 2002; Davis et al., 2003; Klipper et al., 2004). Other luteal cell types are capable of cleaving ET-1 from Big-ET-1 via membrane associated ECE-1 (Meidan and Levy, 2002; Davis et al., 2003). The major regulators of ET-1 production are PGF2α and TNF-α. PGF2α has been shown to increase ET-1 mRNA and peptide expression in ruminant CLs in vivo, and by luteal cells in vitro (Hinckley and Milvae, 2001; Wright et al., 2001; Meidan and Levy, 2002; Schams et al., 2003; Choudhary et al., 2004), although PGF2α treatment was only effective in vivo in the mid-late luteal phase (cow - Meidan and Levy, 2002). Furthermore, PGF2α infused by microdialysis into the ovine or bovine CL, increased the release of ET-1 (Ohtani et al., 1998; 2004). TNF-α stimulates ET-1 secretion from bovine luteal endothelial cells (Okuda et al., 1999) and porcine luteal cells (L. Rose and J. Gadsby, unpublished data) in vitro.

**Endothelin-converting enzyme (ECE)-1**

There are four isoforms of ECE-1 (a-d) that differ in their amino terminal sequences, which probably accounts for their different sub-cellular localisations and thus different intracellular sites for ET-1 synthesis (from Big ET-1; Klipper et al., 2004). For example ECE-1a resides intracellularly, whereas ECE-1b is found on the cell membrane (Meidan and Levy, 2002). Steroidogenic luteal cell types express exclusively the ECE-1b isoform enabling these cells to generate bioactive ET-1 at the cell surface close to the ET-receptor (Meidan and Levy, 2002; Levy et al., 2003). Endothelial cells possess all ECE-1 isoforms suggesting that these cells can convert Big-ET-1 to ET-1 both intra- and extra-cellularly (Meidan and Levy, 2002; Levy et al., 2003; Klipper et al., 2004).

In one study in the bovine CL, ECE-1 (mRNA and protein) increased between the early and mid-luteal phases (Meidan and Levy, 2002; Levy et al., 2003). This increase in ECE-1 was hypothesised to account for the increase in ET-1 production between early and mid-luteal phases, and thus for the acquisition of LS in the bovine CL (Meidan and Levy, 2002). In other studies, ECE-1 mRNA expression levels were either reported to be relatively constant (Berisha et al., 2002), or to increase between the early and mid-luteal phases (Choudhary et al., 2004). In addition, while ECE-1 protein (Western blot) concentrations decreased, ECE-1 enzyme activity ailed to show any significant changes during this period (Choudhary et al., 2004), casting some doubt on the proposed critical role of ECE-1 described above (Meidan and Levy, 2002; Levy et al., 2003). Little is known of the regulation of ECE-1 expression in the CL, although there is a report suggesting that PGF2α can transiently increase ECE-1 mRNA levels in the bovine CL (Schams et al., 2003). Other studies in the cow suggest that LH inhibits, and IGF-1 or insulin stimulates, ECE-1 in granulosa-lutein cells, and ET-1 inhibits ECE-1 mRNA expression in luteal cells, in vitro (Levy et al., 2003).
There are two ET-receptor sub-types, ETA and ETB, originally named after the location of their discovery (ETA for aorta and ETB for bronchus; Meidan and Levy, 2002). These receptors belong to the superfamily of seven-transmembrane G protein coupled receptors.

Based on ligand binding studies bovine CLs were shown to express ET-receptors, mainly the ETA subtype (Meidan and Levy, 2002). All bovine luteal cell subtypes expressed ETA mRNA, although the highest concentrations were seen in endothelial cells (Meidan and Levy, 2002); luteal endothelial cells were also found to express ETB (Klipper et al., 2004). ETA mRNA concentrations in bovine CLs remained relatively constant from early to late stages of the oestrous cycle, but increased ~2-5-fold in regressing CLs (Meidan and Levy, 2002). The elevated expression of ETA in late stage CLs is consistent with an involvement of ET-1 in PGF2α-induced luteolysis (Hinckley and Milvae, 2001; Meidan and Levy, 2002). Another study in the cow showed no changes in ETA mRNA concentrations throughout the oestrous cycle but an increase in ETB during CL regression was seen (Berisha et al., 2002). In an additional report, ETA increased between early and mid-cycle, with a slight decline in the late cycle, while ETB increased in the early stages and thereafter remained constant throughout the cycle (Wright et al., 2001; Choudhary et al., 2004). There is no definitive explanation for the differences observed between these studies. PGF2α up-regulates the expression of ETA mRNA in the bovine CL, but only when administered in the mid-late luteal phase (Meidan and Levy, 2002; Schams et al., 2003; Choudhary et al., 2004). The effects of PGF2α on ETB mRNA are more controversial, with one study showing an increase (Schams et al., 2003) and another study showing no effect (Choudhary et al., 2004). ETA mRNA expression in luteal steroidogenic cells was negatively regulated during luteinisation; LH or Forskolin inhibited ETA by small (theca derived), whereas insulin or IGF-I inhibited ETA by large (granulosa derived) luteal cells in the cow (Meidan and Levy, 2002).

ET-1 decreased luteal progesterone secretion in vitro (Hinckley and Milvae, 2001; Meidan and Levy, 2002; Choudhary et al., 2005) and in vivo (Hinckley and Milvae, 2001; Ohtani et al., 2004), an effect which appears to be mediated via the ETA receptors (Hinckley and Milvae, 2001; Meidan and Levy, 2002). ET-1's vasoconstrictive actions are also probably mediated via ETA receptors on vascular smooth muscle cells of ovarian arterioles, and presumably play an important role during luteolysis by reducing blood flow to the CL (Klipper et al., 2004; Ohtani et al., 2004). In contrast, the ETB receptor subtype (Klipper et al., 2004) appears to mediate ET-1's action on nitric oxide production, which has vasodilatory effects (Klipper et al., 2004). How the balance of these opposing actions of ET-1 is achieved in the regressing CL, is not currently known.

ET-1’s actions via ETA are similar to those of PGF2α via its receptor; activation of phospholipase C (PLC), release of intracellular calcium and activation of protein kinase C (PKC; Schmitz-Spanke and Schipke, 2000). As discussed above, studies using the ETA selective antagonists, have shown that the ETA receptor subtype mediates the luteolytic actions of ET-1 and PGF2α in the CL (Hinckley and Milvae, 2001; Meidan and Levy, 2002). In addition, since PGF2α also induces ET-1 production (see above), it is clear that interactions between these factors are critical to the luteolytic process in vivo. ET-1’s actions via ETB on luteal endothelial cells appear to involve the stimulation of the inducible form of nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) leading to the generation of Nitric Oxide (NO; Klipper et al., 2004). Since NO also has been shown to be involved in PGF2α’s luteolytic actions (Skarzynski et al., 2003b), it is possible that ET-1 acting via ETB may also be involved in CL regression.

In ruminants, sub-luteolytic doses of PGF2α given in the presence of ET-1 in vivo will cause complete luteal regression, showing that ET-1 and PGF2α act synergistically (Hinckley and Milvae, 2001). A similar synergistic response was demonstrated for TNF-α and PGF2α in the pig.
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In preliminary studies (Fig. 5), we have demonstrated that TNF-α “sensitised” porcine luteal cells in vitro to the luteolytic effects of PGF α. In view of the fact that TNF-α increases ET-1 secretion by endothelial cells (Okuda et al., 1999) and porcine luteal cells (L. Rose and J. Gadsby, unpublished data), and that TNF-α dose-dependently increased ET expression on porcine luteal cells (Fig. 6), we hypothesise that ET-1 mediates TNF-α’s role in inducing LS in the porcine CL during the oestrous cycle (see below).

**PGF α luteolytic sensitivity (LS) and luteolysis**

In order to understand the roles of TNF-α and/or ET-1 in the regulation of LS in the pig, it is critical to review the topic of LS and the role of PGF α in the process of luteolysis.

In ruminants, the early CL displays a period of insensitivity to PGF α (i.e. lacks LS) that lasts ~5-6 days (see Estill et al., 1995 for refs). After this period, CLs display LS and thus PGF α or its analogues will cause premature luteal regression and shortening of the oestrous cycle (see Estill et al., 1995 for refs; Meidan and Levy, 2002). Several theories have been proposed for the mechanism of LS in ruminants: 1) only CLs displaying LS respond to PGF α by up-regulating the luteal cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 enzyme, leading to increased intra-luteal PGF α production, which appears necessary for luteolysis (Diaz et al., 2002); 2) only CLs displaying LS (mid-cycle) have elevated ET-1 levels (discussed above - Meidan and Levy, 2002); 3) CLs lacking LS (early) showed elevated levels of PGF α metabolism compared with those at mid-cycle (Silva et al., 2000); and 4) protein kinase (PK) C ε specifically, is up-regulated in bovine CLs that display LS and it is this PKC isoform that mediates PGF α luteolytic signalling in vitro (Sen et al., 2004; 2005).

The pig is unusual since CLs are insensitive to the luteolytic actions of PGF α (i.e. lack LS) until after day 12 of the oestrous cycle (see Estill et al., 1993 for refs). Consequently, PGF α analogues cannot be used to shorten the oestrous cycle (Estill et al., 1993). Our original studies designed to address this problem measured the luteal PGF α (FP) receptor, and we showed a ~3 fold increase on days 13-14 (vs. days 4-12; Gadsby et al., 1990; 1993), coinciding with the onset of LS in this species (Estill et al., 1993; 1995). Recently we found that PGF α-receptor mRNA levels were significantly elevated on day 10, reaching a maximum on day 13 of the oestrous cycle (Boonyaprakob et al., 2003). However, important functional differences between CLs lacking and those possessing LS, probably occur at the post-FP receptor level, as suggested by the following studies. Previously it was shown that repeated administration of PGF α analogues (Lutalyse and Cloprostenol) on days 5-10 of the oestrous cycle, induced premature luteolysis and shortened cycle length by up to 7 days (Estill et al., 1993; 1995; Gadsby et al., 1996b). These studies indicated that LS can be advanced in the pig, although this occurred without an increase in FP receptors (Estill et al., 1995). In another study Cloprostenol administration induced COX-2 (mRNA and protein) in both CLs lacking (day 9 of oestrous cycle), and displaying (day 17 of pseudopregnancy), LS (Diaz et al., 2000). However, Cloprostenol did not increase PGF α or decrease progesterone secretion on day 9, whereas it did significantly increase PGF α and decreased luteal progesterone secretion on day 17 (Diaz et al., 2000). Furthermore, the PKC-activator (PDD) was found to be equally capable of increasing luteal PGF α synthesis on days 9 and 17, but was less effective at decreasing progesterone secretion on day 9 compared with day 17 (Diaz et al., 2000). These data suggested that: 1) protein kinase C levels may be rate-limiting to the luteolytic actions of PGF α in CLs which lack LS; and 2) PGF α and progesterone synthetic pathways may be regulated by different PKC-isoforms (Diaz et al., 2000).

PGF α acts on the CL to stimulate phospholipase-C leading to the generation of inositol triphosphate (IP-3) and diacylglycerol (DAG); IP-3 in turn increases intracellular Ca++ levels and DAG activates several isoforms of protein kinase C, specifically PKC- α, βI, βII, ε and θ in inducing

---

*Pitzel et al., 1993* and *in vivo* using CL microdialysis (Wuttke et al., 1998). In our preliminary studies (Fig. 5), we have demonstrated that TNF-α “sensitised” porcine luteal cells in vitro to the luteolytic effects of PGF α. In view of the fact that TNF-α increases ET-1 secretion by endothelial cells (Okuda et al., 1999) and porcine luteal cells (L. Rose and J. Gadsby, unpublished data), and that TNF-α dose-dependently increased ET expression on porcine luteal cells (Fig. 6), we hypothesise that ET-1 mediates TNF-α’s role in inducing LS in the porcine CL during the oestrous cycle (see below).
The PKC isoforms have been classified based on their mode of activation: 1) PKC-α, βI, βII and γ, which depend on calcium, DAG, and phosphatidylserine (PS) for activation ("classical or conventional PKCs"); 2) PKC isoforms δ, ε, η, μ, and θ, that are independent of calcium, but which require DAG and PS ("novel PKCs"); 3) PKC isoforms ζ and τ/λ, which only require PS ("atypical PKCs"); and 4) a new structurally distinct type of PKC isoform called "PKC-related kinases", that also require only PS (DaRocha et al., 2002).

As discussed above in the porcine CL, PKC levels appear to be limiting to PGF2α's actions leading us to hypothesise that the activities of PKC (e.g. α, βI, βII, ε or θ) will increase during the acquisition of LS, and will be elevated in response to TNF-α (via ET-1). In preliminary studies we showed that TNF-α up-regulated PKC-βII and PKC-ε (Fig. 6) in cultured porcine luteal cells (in vitro) implicating these isoforms at least, in the PGF2α sensitisation process, as suggested for the bovine CL (Sen et al., 2004; 2005).

Overall model for the acquisition of LS

Fig. 7 shows a model illustrating our hypotheses concerning the roles of TNF-α and ET-1 in regulating LS in the pig. TNF-α originating from macrophages, stimulates endothelial cells to secrete ET-1 (Okuda et al., 1999; L. Rose and J. Gadsby, unpublished data). ET-1 acts via ET1 on large luteal cells to increase PKC expression PKC-βII and ε (Fig. 6), to mediate the increased LS of luteal cells to PGF2α. This model represents fundamentally new thinking about the roles of TNF-α and ET-1 as factors which sensitise luteal cells to PGF2α and is under current examination in our efforts to understand the control of LS in the pig.

Fig. 7 Model illustrating the role of macrophage (Mac) - derived TNF-α in sensitising porcine luteal cells to PGF2α (between days 7-13). TNF-α acts via TNF-R on endothelial cells (EC) to increase ET-1 production. ET-1 acts via ET1 receptors on LLC to increase PKC isoform expression (e.g. PKCβII or PKCε), which increases LLC sensitivity to PGF2α at a post-receptor (FP) level, leading to decreased StAR, decreased progesterone and increased prostaglandin (PG) production, and possibly increased apoptosis.
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