Maturation of pig oocytes *in vivo* and *in vitro*
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In this review the concept that the origins of embryonic failure occur during oocyte development is explored. The four factors that determine oocyte viability, namely a normal growth phase, adequate follicle cell support during maturation, the completion of intracellular reprogramming before fertilization and the functioning of oocyte surveillance mechanisms, form the four sections of this review. The viability of pig oocytes at the end of the growth phase is compromised by presumptive spontaneous meiotic progression and by morphological heterogeneity. Determining the percentage and identity of viable dictyate oocytes, and identifying the reasons for the loss of viability, are key areas of future investigation. Although the requirement for follicle cell support during maturation is already established, little is yet known about the underlying signals and their transmission to the oocyte. The analysis of the action and nature of somatic signals will provide the foundation for further advances in the maturation of oocytes *in vitro*. Signalling cascades in oocytes control both the translation of masked mRNA and the modification and spatial localization of resultant proteins. The interdependent nature of this control system explains why inappropriate signals during maturation lead to subsequent embryonic mortality. Chromosomal errors during meiosis and early mitosis accumulate because of the leaky nature of the checkpoint system during the maternally regulated part of development: effective cell cycle surveillance is established only after the activation of the embryonic genome. In summary, we emphasize that the quality of the dictyate oocyte and the provision of appropriate signals *in vitro* are the principal determinants of maturational success.

**Introduction**

The female germ cell population is specialized because its numbers are fixed in prenatal life and decline progressively thereafter by either atresia or ovulation. Although the pig conforms to this pattern of germ cell behaviour, its cellular population of approximately $2 \times 10^5$ primordial follicles is larger than that in many other mammals (Gosden and Telfer, 1987). Despite this apparent advantage, only a small fraction of pig oocytes will develop into live offspring in the lifespan of the sow. Most of the oocyte population (> 99%) will be lost at
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various stages of oogenesis by the process of programmed cell death. As the loss of oocytes by atresia forms the subject of other contributions to this symposium it will not be discussed further in this review. Instead, we shall focus on the competence of the remaining oocytes, coupled with a consideration of the effectiveness of potential surveillance mechanisms that function in the maintenance of oocyte quality. The underpinning proposition is that the normal progression of early embryogenesis depends absolutely on a complex but ordered series of events during oogenesis. This protracted period of oocyte development includes an early mitotic phase of germ cell multiplication, the transition from mitosis to meiosis, meiotic arrest, genetic recombination, primordial cell inactivity, oocyte growth and a final culminating phase of intense cellular reorganization during maturation. The introduction of a haploid sperm nucleus at fertilization restores the diploid status of the egg and drives meiosis to its conclusion. The high incidence of early embryonic mortality in pigs indicates that this elaborate series of cellular events may often undergo aberrant changes that are not invariably detected by oocyte surveillance mechanisms (for reviews see Perry, 1954; Wilmut et al., 1986).

In addition to their normal development in vivo, oocytes from antral follicles have, over the past 20 years, been used for the in vitro production of embryos (for reviews see Hasler et al., 1995; Galli and Lazzari, 1996). Despite numerous studies in a variety of different animals, the percentage of offspring produced in vitro remains < 20%. Although a significant part of the embryonic mortality associated with in vitro systems may be a result of the inherent quality of the oocytes, the remainder appears to be associated with imperfect in vitro technology. The causes of these imperfections can be ascribed broadly to three general deficiencies: there is an inadequate understanding of the intracellular processes underlying oocyte development, many studies contain a number of variables and many use inadequate methods of experimental assessment. For example, using measures of success such as fertilization, cleavage or cavitation are at best imperfect and often obscure subtle cellular abnormalities that contribute to later embryonic mortality. The concepts of limiting the number of experimental variables and using rigorous endpoints such as live births is of particular relevance to pigs, in which disappointingly few in vitro-produced embryos develop to term (Mattioli et al., 1989; Funahashi and Day, 1997; Kikuchi and Kashiwazaki, 1999).

The aim of this review is to emphasize deficiencies in our understanding of both the oocyte and the processes involved in its acquisition of developmental competence during maturation. In particular, the review will pose the following questions: to what extent are G2-stage pig oocytes in antral follicles developmentally compromised? What intrafollicular signals confer developmental capacity on oocytes and through what general mechanisms do the intracellular signals act? Finally, what surveillance mechanisms exist to detect defects in oocytes during their passage from germ cells to mature metaphase II oocytes? References to current in vitro protocols will be made only where such considerations illuminate significant issues about oocyte quality, intrafollicular signalling or cellular surveillance.

**Heterogeneity and developmental competence in oocyte populations**

**Heterogeneity and follicular dynamics**

It is axiomatic that heterogeneity is an essential feature of folliculogenesis in the ovaries of all mammals. Whether there are differences in the pool of primordial follicles is uncertain and raises the question of how and which follicles are recruited from this large reserve population into the growing classes. We postulate, but have not proven, that follicles differ even within the primordial pool. Regardless of the accuracy or otherwise of this hypothesis, there is no dispute about the importance of follicular heterogeneity once growth has been initiated. Pig
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follicles develop over a number of months from a structure composed of a single layer of flattened somatic cells to a complex multi-compartmental antral follicle in a highly ordered series of stages (Morbeck et al., 1994). Changes in the oocyte parallel these somatic cell developments. Genes are activated in a stage-specific manner; some of the resultant mRNA is translated immediately and the proteins are used to support the remarkable 200-fold increase in the volume of the developing oocyte. Other newly synthesized proteins are exported to form the zona pellucida or to act as signals between the growing oocyte and its associated follicle cells. However, not all the mRNA is translated and as the oocyte grows it accumulates large quantities of de-adenylated transcripts that are complexed with protein and stored in the cytoplasm (Gosden et al., 1995). It is this masked mRNA that will ultimately drive both the process of maturation and all the developmental events that occur before activation of the embryonic genome. Therefore, there can be no doubt that heterogeneity exists not only during all stages of folliculogenesis but is also an essential component of the ovarian regulatory system. However, should the oocyte be regarded as entering a homogeneous population once its growth phase is complete? Furthermore, if there are morphological differences between fully grown oocytes, can these be correlated with the subsequent developmental capacity of the egg?

Heterogeneity within the fully grown dictyate oocyte population

Knowledge about the inherent quality of oocytes removed from follicles by clinicians and research workers for the in vitro production of embryos is an important unresolved problem. Clearly, until this intractable question is resolved no definitive statements can be made about the absolute quality of either human or animal in vitro embryo production techniques. Questions about oocyte quality, especially in humans and cattle, have exercised workers for many years and have been the subject of a substantial number of papers all describing different methods that purport to identify healthy oocytes. However, with the exception of identifying grossly abnormal oocytes, no technique has yet been devised to distinguish oocytes with developmental competence from those that are inherently incapable of supporting development to term (Hyttel et al., 1997). Indirect evidence that a significant proportion of fully grown oocytes might be developmentally incompetent comes primarily from humans, in which approximately 20% of aspirated oocytes have been classified as morphologically degenerate (for review see Moor et al., 1998). Even when these oocytes are excluded, the number of babies produced from the remaining morphologically normal oocytes by in vitro maturation is still remarkably low. Moreover, genetic analyses on early cleavage stage embryos reveal a high incidence of chromosomal abnormality, indicating that many oocytes may be inherently genetically defective in humans. It is important to determine whether high percentages of genetically defective oocytes occur in other species also, or whether chromosomal abnormalities are predominantly a problem associated with the extended lifespan of humans.

In pigs, questions about oocyte homogeneity have focussed primarily on observed differences in the configuration of chromatin in the nucleus of fully grown oocytes and are based on a seminal paper by Motlik and Fulk (1976). These studies were carried out on the ovaries of 24 superovulated gilts that were examined at 4 h intervals after administration of hCG and from oocytes cultured in vitro. A total of 156 oocytes was examined before or within 4 h of hCG injection and 145 (94%) exhibited a GVI type chromatin pattern; the remaining 11 oocytes displayed advanced stages of germinal vesicle breakdown (GVIV or early diakinesis). In contrast, the results of more recent studies using the same classification system indicate that an exceptionally low percentage (approximately 30%) of oocytes collected from medium-sized follicles of prepuberal gilts or from sows in the luteal or early follicular phase remain in an
inactive GVI state (see Funahashi and Day, 1997; Prather and Day, 1998; Guthrie and Garrett, 2000). Excluding possible differences in classification between the two groups of investigators, what could now account for this spectacular increase in the apparent spontaneous resumption of meiosis in pig oocytes from follicles 3–6 mm in diameter? The age of the pigs at death, the size and nature of the follicles selected for aspiration, their hormonal milieu and the composition of modern pig diets are among the possible explanations for the observed differences (Ding and Foxcroft, 1994; Hunter, 2000). Clearly, more research is needed on the causes of this presumptive precocious meiotic resumption. These studies could be preceded very profitably by an updating of the original follicle classifications using accurately staged oocytes taken directly from normal and synchronized pigs and three-dimensional nuclear reconstructions using modern confocal techniques.

It has been suggested that differences between GVI- and GVII-stage oocytes affect their subsequent maturation and development (Grupen and Nagashima, 1997; Prather and Day, 1998). To circumvent this, three approaches have been used to induce meiotic homogeneity before induction of the maturation process. The first approach has been to administer gonadotrophin (eCG) to gilts 72 h before oocyte collection. In the second approach, oocytes have been preincubated for 12 h without gonadotrophins. The third approach has been to expose oocytes to dibutyryl cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate (dbcAMP) or hypoxanthine to induce synchronization (Miyano et al., 1995; Funahashi and Day, 1997; Prather and Day, 1998). These meiotic synchronization protocols are of interest because they indicate that the GVI to GVII transition is readily reversible. However, both approaches also expose the oocytes to potentially damaging problems. The administration of gonadotrophins to ungulate oocytes can induce the premature unmasking of some mRNAs followed by precocious protein synthesis and a subsequent reduction in embryonic development (Moor et al., 1985). Equally, even the method of LH delivery in vivo appears to influence the subsequent developmental competence of oocytes in vivo (Oussaid et al., 1997). These experiments (Fig. 1) show that the administration of LH as a single bolus rather than in a pulsatile manner to sheep had no measurable effect on the completion of meiosis or on fertilization. However, the advantages of a pulsatile form of LH administration were not apparent until early development, when significantly more blastocysts ($P < 0.01$) were formed.

Taken together the above two studies indicate that exogenous hormone therapy can compromise egg quality and embryonic development. However, if used correctly gonadotrophins not only assist in the imposition of nuclear homogeneity (Funahashi and Day, 1997) but may also act to prime the oocyte before maturation. This postulated requirement for pre-maturation priming is considered by some investigators to occur in the period immediately preceding the release of LH and to be important for cytoplasmic maturation; pre-maturation priming plays no role in the regulation of the meiotic cell cycle (for review see Moor et al., 1998). There is some evidence of a small beneficial effect of pre-maturation priming in primates, cattle and also in pig oocytes, in which FSH priming increases development to the morula stage and beyond (Bolamba and Sirard, 2000).

In conclusion, it appears that the questions of oocyte viability may be complicated in pigs by problems associated with the spontaneous resumption of meiosis in oocytes from intermediate-sized follicles. This abnormality adds a further specialized challenge to the key problem of determining what percentage of fully grown oocytes is inherently capable of ultimately supporting development to term. Until this question is resolved, it will be impossible to be certain of the extent to which improvements can be made to our current methods of maturing oocytes in vitro. The resolution of this key question is likely to be expedited by the power of modern fluorescence-activated in situ hybridization (FISH) techniques for chromosome analysis (Munne et al., 1995; Harper and Delhanty, 1996).
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Fig. 1. An illustration that the mode of LH release in the 24 h preceding the LH surge in sheep (pre-maturation period) influences the subsequent developmental capacity of matured oocytes. (a) Inhibition of GnRH and, thus, LH pulsatility, was induced in the 24 h before maturation with the antagonist antarelix: oocyte maturation and ovulation were thereafter induced by a single injection of LH. (b) Inhibition of natural LH pulsatility during the late follicular phase using antarelix. However, hourly injections of LH induced artificial pulsatility in the 24 h before a single ovulatory injection of LH. (c) Untreated controls. Rates of blastocyst development were significantly higher in the groups of animals whose oocytes had been exposed to pulsatile LH administration in the 24 h before induction of maturation (graphs constructed from data presented by Oussaid et al., 1997).

Follicular signals and intercellular communication

The first requirement for the production of a viable egg is the growth and development of a competent dictyate oocyte and the second is for appropriate somatic cell support. A number of questions are raised by this assertion: is this support cell-type specific; what is the nature of the required support; when is it required; and by what pathways is the support delivered to the oocyte?
Follicular contribution to oocyte competence

The question of whether and when follicle cell support is required during maturation is not new (for review see Moor and Warnes, 1978). Using in vivo approaches, Moor and Warnes (1978) showed that oocytes denied follicular support for the first third of the maturation period failed to develop into fetuses, although meiotic cycle progression was not inhibited. By linking these results with those derived from biochemical analyses of gene expression and cell fusion, it is now widely accepted that mammalian follicles generate inhibitory signals until after the LH surge (Dekel, 1996). Thereafter, positive follicular signals that are generated in the first third of maturation (inductive phase) initiate a phase of intracellular reprogramming that is completed in the second phase of maturation (cytoplasmic reprogramming phase). In addition to the provision of specialized signals for the completion of maturation, follicle cells also provide essential nutrient and metabolic support to the oocyte throughout the entire period of oocyte development. In the oocytes of domestic animals, this follicular cell support is required not only for growth but also for normal intracellular function, such as the maintenance of ionic balances in the cell and the maintenance of mRNA masking and stability (for reviews see Moor, 1983; Motlik and Fulka, 1986; Mattioli, 1994). Therefore, successful maturation of pig oocytes in vivo or in vitro depends on the presence of follicle cells able to generate and transmit the correct sequence of signals and support to induce and maintain the intracellular maturation programme.

Signals and signalling pathways during maturation

The oocyte membrane is remarkably poorly equipped to transport many of the metabolic products required by the oocyte (see Moor, 1983). Instead, substrates such as nucleotides, amino acids and phospholipids enter the oocyte cytoplasm through an extensive network of gap junctions. In pigs, cell coupling between the oocyte and the associated coronal cells is maintained throughout most of the maturation period. Its premature disruption in vitro does not affect meiotic progression but disrupts cytoplasmic maturation, fertilization and development totally (Mattioli et al., 1988; Mori et al., 2000). Although this loss of developmental potential is due in part to the intracellular depletion of essential molecules, junctional disruption also blocks the transmission of specific signals including those associated with calcium regulation and FSH stimulation (Fagbohun and Downs, 1991; Kaufman and Homa, 1993). The extent to which other intracellular regulators depend on junctional transport is unclear because of our lack of knowledge about the mode of action, or indeed even the nature, of many of the key signalling molecules. Studies on the somatic signals, their transmission and their intracellular action are likely to provide some of the more rewarding areas for future research on pig oocytes. These areas of study should include not only the somatic compartment but should also focus on receptors and electric potentials within the nuclear and cell membranes (Mattioli, 1994); this latter focus is important as membrane events affect polarity and cellular compartmentalization in amphibian, and probably also in mammalian, oocytes (see Berridge, 1988). A small number of examples will suffice to indicate both the complexity and the limits of our current knowledge on signalling during oocyte maturation. Oestrogen receptors have been located in oocytes (Wu et al., 1992) and oestrogen is also dominant in early preovulatory follicles. Inserting 17α-hydroxylase inhibitors into ovine follicles in the early preovulatory phase subverts the normal steroid biosynthetic pathway. This change has no effect on meiotic progression but compromises early embryogenesis greatly by altering the synthesis of a small number of proteins in the oocyte (Osborn and Moor, 1983). Although oestrogens are important during the early phase of maturation, progesterone is required in the second phase of maturation to maintain junctional
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communication between the oocyte and the adjacent corona cells (Mattioli et al., 1988). Future analyses on steroid signalling will need to take into account the likelihood that the relationship between the oocyte and its steroid milieu is highly dynamic and may not even be of a direct nature. Likewise, growth factors and cytokines appear to have certain beneficial effects on oocytes matured in vitro but it is likely that the action of these might be on the follicle cells rather than directly on the oocyte. In addition to the above well-established signalling molecules, other more localized intrafollicular signalling systems may also be important. For example, after the LH surge, maturing oocytes secrete two major gelatinases and the associated follicle cells secrete the corresponding metalloproteinase tissue inhibitors (Brenner et al., 1989; Smith et al., 1999). The results of Funahashi et al. (1997) indicate that this interaction between oocyte matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and follicle cell inhibitors (tissue inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinases; TIMPs) may act as an activator during maturation (Fig. 2). Their results show firstly that TIMP acts during maturation only and is without effect if added after fertilization. Moreover, TIMP has no effect on cell cycle progression or fertilization but instead acts solely to induce a significant improvement in early development.

In conclusion, we believe that the unique contribution made by the follicle cells, often through intercellular communication, to oocyte development is clearly established. The intimacy of this oocyte–follicle interdependency is demonstrated graphically by the finding that the calcium stores of pig oocytes are rapidly depleted when they are removed from the follicle (Petr et al., 2001). Although it is apparent that a diverse range of somatic signals, ranging from inorganic ions to proteins, is involved in oocyte regulation, much remains to be discovered about the nature and action of both the inhibitory and stimulatory signalling systems. Moreover, it is apparent that many signals exert subtle effects that are not apparent until blastulation or beyond: this fact highlights the importance of using appropriate endpoints in signalling studies. Until both the full range of nutrients and instructive signals are identified, it will continue to be necessary to provide oocytes with appropriate signals by resorting to the inclusion of follicle cells in maturation systems (Staigmiller and Moor, 1984; Mattioli et al., 1989). However, the ultimate success of this cellular supplementation approach depends on the precision with which the culture system enables the follicle cells to mimic their counterparts in vivo. We consider that too little attention is given to this requirement in the design of in vitro maturation systems.

**Oocyte responses to intrafollicular signals**

The purpose of signals directed at oocytes in preovulatory follicles is to drive the two parallel programmes of meiotic progression and cytoplasmic reprogramming. These two programmes can, to a significant extent, occur independently of each other. However, it is only when both are synchronized that developmental competence is attained by the mature oocyte. Although the protein products required for each programme differ, the intracellular signalling pathways and their targets in the oocyte are comparable. In somatic cells, the ultimate role of most signals is either to regulate transcription or to alter the activity of the gene products by protein modification. In contrast, in fully grown oocytes the signalling pathways converge not on DNA regulation but on the mobilization and translation of masked mRNA, as virtually no transcription occurs in oocytes during maturation. Intracellular signals in oocytes are involved with the selection of appropriate stored transcripts for translation at precise times and in controlling the level and duration of translation. In addition to regulating translation, signals also act to control the activity of the resultant proteins by post-translational modification and to localize proteins to specific cellular compartments. Abnormalities in any of these regulatory steps may induce discontinuities in the maturation process with resultant failures during development. The
cytoplasmic reprogramming events controlled by stored mRNA extend to almost every component of the oocyte. The process of cytoplasmic reprogramming includes changes to the plasma membrane, changes to the position of intracellular organelles, synthesis of new products required for fertilization and early development, and the control of meiotic cycle events.

The progression of the meiotic cycle is unique because the nature of this form of cell division is entirely different from that in all other cells in the body. The complexity of the various specialized regulatory events that operate in maturing pig oocytes can be illustrated by reference to the events that occur during progress through the first meiotic M-phase. During this phase the G2-block is removed, chromatin condenses, the nuclear membrane disassembles, a spindle is formed, homologous chromosomes separate (while sister chromatids remain tightly adherent) and meiosis progresses from metaphase I to metaphase II with no intervening S-phase. The complexity and precision with which translational mechanisms control the availability of molecules involved in driving events that occur in the first M-phase are shown (Fig. 3).

The results presented (Fig. 3) highlight the fact that relevant mRNAs for meiosis are recruited at different times, for different durations and at different levels. Although the resultant new protein synthesis is essential for meiotic progression in pig oocytes, it is important to stress that not all controls are imposed on the translation process itself. Meiotic regulation includes both a temporal and spatial component. The time dimension is characterized by the synthesis and destruction of specific cell-cycle regulators at specific stages in meiosis. However, the modification and spatial localization of these molecules is equally important for the regulation of meiosis as the time of their synthesis (see Pines, 1999).

**Molecules controlling the first meiotic M-phase**

There is strong evidence that two tyrosine kinases, p34^cdc2^ (MPF) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), act in concert to induce G2- to M-phase progression in pig oocytes (Inoue et al., 1998; Motlik et al., 1998; Lee et al., 2000a). In the case of MPF kinase, the catalytic subunit (p34^cdc2^) is stored in the oocyte as an inactive protein. The regulatory subunit...
Maturation of pig oocytes

Fig. 3. The complex series of translational patterns generated by the mobilization of some of the masked mRNAs required to drive the cell cycle from the G2- (germinal vesicle) to the MII-stage of meiosis. The diagram illustrates the rate of translation of five species of mRNA plotted against the different stages of oocyte and egg development in pigs. Although the mRNAs shown represent a small fraction of the total pool of stored message only, nevertheless the unique nature of the translational pattern for each separate mRNA species is clearly shown.

(cyclin B1) requires de novo synthesis and complexing with the catalytic subunit during the late G2-phase. The MPF complex must then be activated by the removal of phosphates from Thr14 and Tyr15 of the p34cdc2 molecule before translocation to the nucleus, where it exerts many of its major effects. In contrast, the two MAPK kinases (ERK1 and ERK2) are stored as proteins in the cytoplasm of pig oocytes and become activated by phosphorylation and selective relocation (see Inoue et al., 1998). The MAPK cascade is important in many cell types, including pig oocytes, for the translocation of extrafollicular signals to their intracellular targets (Inoue et al., 1998). It is by examining the detail of how each translocational event, phosphorylation step and protein localization process is controlled that a full appreciation of the maturation process can be most easily gained. For example, the master cell cycle kinase regulator (MPF kinase) requires both the synthesis of B-type cyclin and dephosphorylation before it acquires enzyme activity in pig oocytes: the phosphatase required for MPF dephosphorylation is coded for by the cdc25 gene. The requirement for both cyclin B and cdc25 mRNA translation for entry into metaphase I in pig oocytes has been demonstrated by both direct mRNA injection (Fig. 4) and by antisense-mediated arrest of translation in dictyate oocytes (Dai et al., 2000). Thus, injection of mRNA coding only for either the B type cyclin (pig cyclin B1 or B2) or for the cdc25c protein on its own has only a limited effect on the induction of premature nuclear membrane breakdown (GVBD). In contrast, the injection of both cyclin B1 and cdc25 mRNA together induces premature GVBD in most oocytes (Y. Dai and R.M. Moor, unpublished).

Although both these results and those involving injection of antisense DNA (Dai et al., 2000) confirm that cdc25 translation is required for GVBD, they provide no indication of how this translational event is regulated. Comparative studies on the pig cdc25 gene reveal that cdc25 mRNA in the oocyte differs from that in somatic cells. Moreover, these differences are restricted to the 3′ untranslated region (3′ UTR): oocyte-specific cdc25 mRNA contains a 400
Fig. 4. Evidence that the synthesis of both a B-type cyclin and cdc25 protein is required for nuclear membrane breakdown (GVBD) in pig oocytes is provided by the microinjection of a dictyate (GV) oocyte with mRNA followed by confocal examination 15 h later (n = 30-54 oocytes per group). Injection of either cyclin B1 or cdc25 mRNA alone induces premature nuclear membrane disassembly in 25% of oocytes. In contrast, injecting cdc25 and cyclin B1 together increases the percentage of premature nuclear membrane breakdown to > 65% (Y. Dai and R. M. Moor, unpublished).

The example of cdc25 regulation shows that a range of phosphorylation cascades and translocation events is required to control the synthesis of this single phosphate. Inappropriate signals provided during in vitro maturation are likely to impair or distort one or more of the regulatory cascades that determine the correct temporal and spatial organisation of these events within the oocyte. Equally elaborate but entirely different sets of molecules are required both for the assembly of the spindle (Lee et al., 2000b) and for the accurate segregation of homologues at anaphase I (Y. Dai and R. M. Moor, unpublished). Aberrations in any of these processes, or in any of those that drive cytoplasmic maturation, generally also result in segregation errors, chromosomal imbalances or other developmental failures.
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Checkpoint surveillance in pig oocytes

Checkpoint mechanisms in somatic cells operate to eliminate errors during mitosis (Hartwell and Weinert, 1989). These mechanisms ensure that the progression of the cell cycle is error-free; if errors are detected by the surveillance mechanisms then mitosis is arrested to enable the error to be corrected or the cell to enter the apoptotic pathway. Mitotic cells are blocked from entering M-phase if replication is incomplete or if the cells contain damaged DNA. Likewise, segregation of sister chromatids is prevented in M-phase if abnormalities are detected in the spindle. Finally, replication is prevented in S-phase if segregation is incomplete (for review see Clarke and Gimenez-Abian, 2000). The question of what surveillance mechanism operates in oocytes to detect defects in meiosis I is particularly relevant for two reasons. Firstly, sister chromatids do not separate during the first meiotic M-phase, thereby raising important questions about the events monitored by meiotic checkpoints. Secondly, the high incidence of chromosomal non-disjunction associated with meiotic MI in humans (Angell, 1997) raises questions about the effectiveness of the entire surveillance system in oocytes.

Bradshaw et al. (1995) introduced an extensive set of lesions into dictyate oocytes immediately before maturation to determine whether checkpoints would prevent entry into M-phase. However, neither damaged DNA nor the introduction of unreplicated DNA into fully grown oocytes prevented chromatin condensation and nuclear membrane breakdown (Fulka et al., 2000). Although it is clear from studies on Drosophila (Roeder and Bailis, 2000) that checkpoints monitor the process of genetic recombination, there is no evidence that additional checkpoints monitor the integrity of DNA in fully grown dictyate oocytes of mammals. The search for meiotic M-phase checkpoints has been particularly intensive both because of the absence of checkpoints in dictyate oocytes and because of the unique chromosome segregation system that operates in the first meiotic metaphase. Cell fusion analyses, drug-induced spindle lesions, mutational targeting of DNA repair genes, DNA damage and genetically induced chromosomal imbalances have all been used in a variety of species, including the pig, to identify M-phase checkpoints. Using precisely staged mouse oocytes, Fulka et al. (1995) showed that the fusion of early metaphase I oocytes (before the capture of microtubules by kinetochores) to oocytes at later metaphase I stages invariably delayed the onset of chromosome segregation in the more advanced partner. The results of that study indicate that oocytes may emit ‘wait’ signals before kinetochore–microtubule attachment. These inhibitor signals disappear after kinetochore attachment and the chromosome segregation machinery is activated. This conclusion is supported by results from mice homologous for the targeted disruption of DNA mismatch repair genes (Woods and Hodge, 1999). However, other studies raise the possibility that the target for the sensing mechanism in the spindle checkpoint is dependent on microtubule tension rather than on kinetochore attachment itself (see Le-Maire-Adkins and Rouke, 1997; Yu and Muszynski, 1999). The presence of the spindle checkpoint is in contrast to the absence of a surveillance system for DNA damage during M-phase (Fulka et al., 2000).

In conclusion, these surveillance results indicate that despite the presence of checkpoints during recombination and in M-phase, a surprisingly high proportion of mature human oocytes contain chromosomal errors (Hassold et al., 1996). As meiotic checkpoints appear leaky, it is a reasonable expectation that this would be compensated for by the presence of powerful checkpoints during early cleavage. Not only is this not the case but recent studies indicate that errors actually accumulate during early cleavage (Handyside and Delhanty, 1997; Munne and Cohen, 1998; Harrison and Kuo, 2000). Indeed, it appears possible that effective surveillance in embryos is not established until after activation of the embryonic genome at the mid-cleavage transition.
Future perspectives

The development of pig embryos depends on three inter-linked processes, each dependent on the error-free completion of the preceding process. The first phase involving primordial cell selection and completion of growth offers the long-term potential for the induction of growth in vitro. Although in vitro growth would increase the number of fully grown oocytes available for maturation, this does not appear to be a high priority as exceptionally large numbers of pig ovaries are invariably available from commercially killed gilts. However, the development of methods for distinguishing between viable and developmentally compromised dictyate oocytes is a high priority. This methodology will be of central importance both in the selection of a homogeneous and viable population of oocytes for in vitro maturation and in the understanding of the link between oocyte quality and embryonic mortality in vivo. The problems of spontaneous resumption of meiosis in intermediate-sized antral follicles appear to be more acute in pig oocytes than in other species and this increases the concern about the quality of dictyate oocytes in pigs. Sensitive FISH methods of chromosome analysis, coupled with follicle dissection, now offer important opportunities for making significant progress in studies on the quality and heterogeneity of pig oocytes. However, it is possible that the elimination of genetically compromised oocytes will, on its own, be insufficient to ensure the optimal developmental potential of pig oocytes matured in vitro. A pre-maturation period of differentiation may be required before fully grown oocytes have the ability to respond to the full range of maturation signals.

The follicle cell compartment is our second recommended focus for future research on maturation. It is apparent that information on the nature, generation, transmission and action of intrafollicular signals is presently inadequate. Furthermore, it is entirely possible that the current expedient of adding follicular tissue to maturation systems in vitro might not provide the full range of signals to the oocyte. This is likely to be especially relevant if the maturation systems are not designed specifically to ensure normal follicle cell function. Modern methods of molecular analysis should now be used in studies on intrafollicular signalling systems. We anticipate that a systematic analysis of intrafollicular signals, coupled with methods of selecting and priming dictyate oocytes, will be central to the development of successful methods of in vitro maturation. However, success will be conditional on the use of rigorous experimental protocols and reliable biological endpoints for assessing factors that confer developmental competence on maturing oocytes.
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