Embryonic diapause modulates ovarian follicular dynamics and oocyte quality in the European roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*)

SM Bernal-Ulloa¹, JT Bick¹, VA van der Weijden¹, AB Rüegg¹, B Drews¹, MP Kowalewski², S Bauersachs³, SE Ulbrich¹

¹Institute of Agricultural Sciences, ETH Zurich, Switzerland ²Institute of Veterinary Anatomy, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland ³Constinue and Eulertianal Companies, Clinic of Boureductive Medicine, Department

³Genetics and Functional Genomics, Clinic of Reproductive Medicine, Department for Farm Animals, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Corresponding author email: seu@ethz.ch

Abstract

The phenomenon of embryonic diapause has been reported to possibly affect ovarian follicular development. The aim of the current study was to identify the impact of the embryonic diapause period on follicle number and oocyte quality in the European roe deer (Capreolus capreolus). In this species, the embryo undergoes five months of developmental delay. We have determined the antral follicle count (AFC), oocyte morphological quality, oocyte meiotic resumption capacity and the transcriptome profile in immature (GV) and in vitro matured (MII) oocytes obtained during embryonic diapause and after embryo reactivation. A drop in AFC, number of oocytes suitable for in vitro maturation and oocytes reaching the MII stage was observed after embryonic reactivation. A large impact of the diapause period was evident when MII oocytes were compared against GV oocytes using an RNA-seq approach. A total of 1028 genes significantly differed between MII and GV oocytes during diapause and 1795 genes after reactivation. These genes included PDE3A and SOD2, known for being involved in oocyte meiotic arrest and oxidative stress. In addition, tumour-related genes included NDRG3, NCSTN and UGDH, which have been associated with cell proliferation, migration and metabolism, were found. Comparing diapause versus reactivation, differential transcript abundance in both GV and MII oocytes was observed (44 and 32 differentially expressed genes, respectively). Our results suggest that the embryonic diapause and reactivation periods modulate follicular dynamics, influencing the oocyte towards lower quality after reactivation. This is may be related to increased apoptosis, abnormal mitochondria function/structure and metabolic dysfunction in the maturing oocyte.

Introduction

Embryonic developmental delay, termed embryonic diapause, occurs in more than 130 mammalian species. However, this process is still enigmatic and not fully understood to date [1, 2]. In the European Roe Deer (*Capreolus capreolus*), the only known ungulate that exhibits this phenomenon, the rut starts at the end of July/beginning of August. The female roe deer is considered a seasonally monoestrus breeder [3], with an estrus duration of approximately 36h [4]. The start of the breeding season and further ovulation are modulated by melatonin. In animals receiving melatonin implants in mid-April, a sharp decreased in prolactin and an advancement of the ovulatory period by 72 days, indicated by the increment of blood progesterone (P4) concentrations, has been reported [5].

Furthermore, the exposure to artificial long days from the summer solstice delayed ovulation by 46 days [5]. In the absence of a male, peripheral P4 concentrations rise after spontaneous ovulation and corpus luteum (CL) formation occurs. Thereupon, P4 levels are maintain until March [6, 7]. Furthermore, oxytocin (OXT) has been found in the CL during the breeding season and the CL was able to secrete OXT in response to the administration of the PGF2 α analogue cloprostenol [3]. However, administration of OXT did not stimulate uterine secretion of PGF2a [3], although OXT receptors have been found in the uterus during the breeding season and diapause, thus differing from other ruminants [3]. After fertilization, the roe deer embryos develop to the blastocyst stage and hatch from the zona pellucida. Afterwards, blastocysts enter a phase of developmental delay or obligate embryonic diapause [8]. Embryonic reactivation and implantation only take place at the end of December /beginning of January [8]. Peripheral plasma/serum oestradiol concentrations remain low during diapause. A following increase after embryo elongation has been reported [9]. Furthermore, basal prolactin (PRL) concentrations during diapause displaying a substantial increase after implantation have been observed. In contrast, peripheral and luteal P4 concentrations remain constant throughout diapause, elongation and implantation [9]. Additional reports have suggested a rise in P4 levels between January and March prior to a reported decrease in March in pregnant does [3]. Furthermore, no differences in CL mass in does carrying a blastocyst and non-pregnant does have been reported [3]. Up to date, most efforts on the field of embryonic diapause in mammals have focused on studying the maternal endocrinology, embryonic morphology, the uterine environment as well as their interaction during the developmental delay and reactivation processes. Little is known about the female gonads and oocyte characteristics in roe deer. Interestingly, some of the potential diapause regulatory factors described in mice, mink and invertebrates (reviewed by [2]) are also involved in follicle/oocyte mitotic/meiotic arrest maintenance and activation. These comprise the mammalian target of rapamycin (*mTOR*) [10, 11], the MYC proto-oncogene, bHLH transcription factor (MYC) [12] and the forkhead box class O family member 3 (FOXO3) [13, 14]. Additionally, the same factors have also been related to the aging process (reviewed by [15]).

Recently, a decrease in ovarian follicle number following embryonic reactivation was reported in the roe deer [16]. A potential impact of diapause on follicular physiology was likewise suggested [16]. We hypothesise that diapause could play a role in modifying the ovarian activity and therefore oocyte quality. Hence, we studied the ovarian characteristics and oocyte quality during the embryonic diapause and reactivation period in the roe deer by combining morphological observations, cellular and transcriptomics analyses to identify whether these developmental stages influence the follicle population, the oocyte mRNA abundance profiles and the oocyte capacity to resume meiosis.

Materials and methods

All reagents of analytical grade were supplied by Sigma–Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, USA) unless otherwise stated. Sample collection did not require ethical approval, since it was performed during regular hunts and none of the animals was culled for scientific purposes. In the present study, the status of the retrieved ovaries and their respective oocytes was allocated to one of two different periods, according to the corresponding embryo stage. The periods were determined as "Diapause (D)" (embryo in embryonic diapause characterized by a round morphology) and "Reactivation (R)" (embryo elongated or implanted). To determine the reproductive status of the females and the embryonic developmental stage, the uterus horns were either flushed or opened by cutting (when implantation was observed) for embryo retrieval. Since non-pregnant does from hunted animals cannot be confirmed, females in which an embryo was not found were excluded. Therefore, only does with a known embryonic developmental stage were included in the analyses.

Roe deer ovarian characteristics

Roe deer ovaries were retrieved in northern Switzerland and southern Germany between October 2016 - January 2017 and October 2017 - January 2018. A total of 141 hunted animals were considered for the analyses. The length and width of each ovary was determined to estimate the ovarian surface area (mm²) using the formula for an ellipse (length x width x $\pi/4$) [17]. Follicles visible on the ovary surface were counted and classified according to their size in three different groups: small <1 mm, medium 1.1-4.9 mm and big >5 mm. Corpora lutea (CL) were documented and included for ovary classification, namely no presence of a CL (0 CL), one CL present (1 CL), or two or more CL present (\geq 2 CL), respectively.

Roe deer oocyte retrieval

After ovarian morphological features were documented, both ovaries from the same female were sliced in BO-OPU medium (IVF Bioscience, IVF Limited T/A IVF Bioscience, Bickland Industrial Park, Falmouth, Cornwall, United Kingdom) at 35°C. Oocytes were collected and classified in BO-Wash medium (IVF Bioscience) according to six (I-VI) morphological categories [18]: Category I: Oocytes with more than four layers of compact cumulus cells and a regular cytoplasm. Category II: Oocytes with three to four layers of compact cumulus cells, regular cytoplasm or lightly granulated. Category III: Oocytes with one to two layers of cumulus of corona radiata, cytoplasm with irregular appearance, such as dark areas. Category IV: Denuded oocytes. Category V: Oocytes with expanded cumulus. Category VI: Degenerated oocytes. Oocytes classification was performed randomly for 15 animals for each embryo developmental group/phase.

In vitro oocyte maturation (IVM)

After classification, roe deer oocytes were washed in 3 ml maturation medium (BO-HEPES IVM, IVF Bioscience). Thereafter, oocytes were transported to the lab at 38.5°C in 1 ml glass tubes containing 500 μ L of the maturation medium and a maximum of 20 oocytes per tube. Oocytes were cultured for 22 - 26 h at 38.5°C and only oocytes from categories I, II and III were used for IVM.

Processing of immature and in vitro mature oocytes for analyses

Immature (GV, germinal vesicle stage) and *in vitro* matured (MII, metaphase II stage) roe deer oocytes were denuded by incubation in Dulbecco's phosphate buffer saline (PBS, AppliChem GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany), supplemented with 0.1 % hyaluronidase and 1mg/ml BSA (Fraction V) for 3 min at 38.5° and after vortexed for 1 min at 1400 rpm. The remaining cumulus cells were removed by gentle pipetting. Maturation was confirmed by the presence of the first polar body in the perivitelline space (MII). Single denuded immature and matured oocytes were snap frozen at -80°C, in PBS enriched with 0.1% polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) (PBS-PVA solution) for further analysis.

Evaluation of oocyte maturation

After maturation, oocytes were denuded as described above, fixed in PBS-PVA solution containing 10% paraformaldehyde, and maintained at 4°C until evaluation. Prior to analysis, oocytes were washed twice in PBS-PVA and stained with a PBS-PVA solution containing 0.2 mg/ml propidium iodide and 0.4% triton for five minutes. For nuclear evaluation, oocytes were mounted on glass slides and covered with a cover slip. Oocyte evaluation was immediately performed using a fluorescence microscope (Axioplan 2, Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany) at 400× magnification. The number of oocytes at germinal vesicle (GV), germinal vesicle breakdown (GVBD), metaphase I (MI), anaphase I (AI), telophase I (TI), metaphase II (MII) and non-evaluable stages (NE) was determined. Oocyte nuclear stage was evaluated in 11 and 8 randomly selected animals for the diapause and reactivation periods, respectively.

Histology of the ovary

Ovaries from five randomly selected animals in each group (D and R) were cut at middle of the sagittal plane in two halves and fixed using 10% formalin for 24 hours. Thereafter, ovaries were washed in PBS for seven days (PBS was changed daily). Subsequently, samples were dehydrated in graded ethanol series (30%, 50% and 70%, 24 h each) and finally embedded in paraffin. For histological analyses, ovarian slices were cut at a thickness of 2-3 μ m on a rotary microtome in the sagittal plane, and processed in routine hematoxylin and eosin staining.

Oocyte mRNA library preparation

Pools of oocytes were processed using the Smart-seq2 single cell protocol for full-length cDNA and library preparation as previously described [19]. Single oocytes were grouped in two pools of 10 immature and mature oocytes for both, diapause and reactivation groups, for the analyses (at least four donors/pool, eight libraries in total). Lysis buffer containing free dNTPs and tailed oligo-dT oligonucleotides (30-nt-poly-dT stretch and 25-nt universal 5`anchor sequence) was added to each pool of oocytes. Afterwards, reverse transcription (RT) was performed at 42°C for 90 min, followed by 50°C for 2 min. Thereafter, temperature was lowered to 42°C for 2 min. This cycle was repeated ten times. Template switching oligos were added to the RT. After RT, 18 cycles preamplification were performed using KAPA HiFi HotStart Ready mix (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, Massachusetts, USA) and IS PCR primers. High Sensitivity Bioanalyzer chip (Agilent, Santa Clara, California, USA) was used to assess the quality of the cDNA samples. Tagmentation was carried out using the Illumina Nextera XT DNA sample preparation kit (Illumina Inc, San Diego, California, USA) at 55°C for 5 min. Afterwards, 12 cycles PCR amplification were performed, followed by clean up and concentration measurement. Libraries were pooled and sequenced using a HiSeq 4000 platform (Illumina).

Bioinformatics and data analyses

The obtained sequence reads (FastQ files) were analysed with a locally installed version of Galaxy (https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky379). The adapter sequence was clipped with Trim Galore (visited on 2019/04/24) [20] and quality control was done using FastQC. Sequences were mapped with Salmon [21] against a roe deer transcriptome containing 63`935 transcripts (own unpublished data) and annotated against human, bovine and a number of other RefSeq transcripts obtained from NCBI. The read count table was filtered by counts per million (CPM) cut-off to remove transcripts with neglectable read counts and used for statistical analysis with ABSSeq to identify differentially expressed genes (DEG) [22] (parameters: aFold = TRUE and normalization = "qtotal"). Because of some large differences in excounts numbers (normalized count data) between biological replicates from the same oocyte stage and period of time (in both the D and R group), an additional filtering using <60 percentage of change and a standard deviation < 13 was performed. Four different comparisons were performed: i) GV oocytes from the embryo developmental diapause period vs. GV oocytes from the embryo reactivation period (GV_D_vs_GV_R); ii) MII oocytes from the embryo developmental diapause period vs. MII oocytes from the embryo reactivation period (MII D vs MII R); iii) GV vs. MII oocytes from the embryo developmental diapause period (GV D vs MII D); iv) GV vs. MII oocytes from the embryo developmental reactivation period (GV R vs MII R). To determine differentially expressed genes (DEG), the adjusted p-value for the analyses was set to <1% and a log2 fold change (FC) > 1 / < 1 was applied for all comparisons as a cut-off. Hierarchical cluster analysis was performed for DEGs using MultiExperiment Viewer (MeV). Venn diagrams were performed using jvenn interactive Venn diagram viewer [23]. The obtained DEG were uploaded to the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis software (IPA: Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) to identify enrichment for Canonical pathways (CP) and Disease and Functions (DF). The level of significance for enrichment in the IPA output is assigned by a p-value in the uploaded data set compared with the known molecules in the database for a particular CP and FD entry. Only entries

with a p-value <0.01 were included for the analyses. Furthermore, IPA calculates a zscore to infer the activation state: activation/increase or inhibition/decrease. A z-score > 2 was considered statistically significant.

Statistical analysis of oocyte and ovary data

Data from ovary size and total and suitable oocyte numbers were evaluated using an analysis of variance model. Follicle numbers and oocyte maturation rates were compared by Kruskal-Wallis test. These statistical tests were performed in R, version 3.6.1 [24] and the significance was set at P < 0.05.

Results and discussion

Here, we report for the first time, morphological and molecular oocyte characteristics during the embryonic diapause and reactivation periods in the roe deer. Additionally, we present ovarian characteristics including the follicular growth and ovary size. We have applied next generation transcriptome sequencing to characterize the effects of the embryo developmental stage on the transcriptome relating to GV and MII-staged oocytes during the embryonic diapause and after the reactivation phase.

Ovarian characteristics

The average surface area of the 282 collected ovaries between October and January did not change between the diapause (D) and embryo reactivation (R) group (p>0.05) (see Table 1). As expected, the presence of 1 or 2 CL increased the surface area. The total number of follicles and the number of small follicles decreased after reactivation (p<0.05) (see Figure 1a-b). The number of large and medium sized follicles did not differ between D and R (p>0.05) (see Figure 1b). The ovarian histology confirmed the observed findings regarding different populations of follicles, including intact antral and atretic follicles in both D and R. Furthermore, in both D and R, there were CL not fully and fully integrated in the ovarian structures and covered by the surface epithelium (see Figure 2). Although the number of antral follicles seemed higher in ovaries displaying not fully integrated CL, the number of atretic follicles seemed higher in those ovaries with fully integrated CL, regardless of the experimental group (see Figure 2).

It has been reported that during early pregnancy (between days 6 - 34 post oestrus), bovine ovaries bearing a CL show decreased follicular development as a local effect, meanwhile follicular growth is sustained in the contralateral ovary [25]. However, differences in follicle number in non-pregnant vs. pregnant bovine donors used for ovum pick up have not been reported [26]. In the ewe, a seasonal species, a suppression of antral follicle growth during early gestation remained also restricted to CL-bearing ovaries (days 10 – 30 post oestrus [27]) as in the cow. In the red deer, using ultrasound examination, seasonality (anoestrous) has negatively affected the follicle size and longevity. Additionally, little or no luteal activity was observed [28]. In the roe deer using ultrasonography, antral follicular development during diapause in both pregnant and non-pregnant females has been reported [29]. However, the authors did not study the differences in follicular populations. Previous studies from ovarian histological cuts in the roe deer (from August to January hunting season) reported the presence of follicles at all stages of development and atretic follicles present in the majority of the ovaries [30]. Interestingly, mitosis was observed in some follicles, meaning active development [30]. However, the authors did not distinguish diapause from reactivation. In our study, we have observed a drop in antral follicle number in the roe deer potentially related to embryonic reactivation. Interestingly, a comparable pattern in CL number distribution and ovary size in both developmental periods (diapause vs. reactivation) was also evident. Furthermore, previous studies have revealed no differences in the CL mass in nonpregnant and pregnant roe deer females [3], suggesting that the CL presence influenced the

follicular growth in a similar manner and that the observed reduction in follicle count might be due to the reactivation period. Although, differences in estradiol-17 β (E2) and progesterone (P4) levels have not been found in the roe deer between embryonic diapause and reactivation [16], the possible effects of the CL ovarian integration and its functionality deserves further research.

Number of CL per	Diapaus	e	Reactivat	on
Ovary				
	Number of ovaries	Area (mm²)	Number of ovaries	Area (mm²)
0 CL	48	67.6 ± 3.7	15	59.2 ± 4.5
1 CL	121	76.0 ± 2.2	37	72.8 ± 4.0
≥2 CL	45	95.5 ± 5.2	16	89.3 ± 4.9

Table 1. Effects of the embryonic diapause and reactivation on the ovary area in the roe deer.

Data are the mean ± SEM (p>0.05).

Oocyte quality and maturation rates

Since oocyte quality depends on the follicular environment, we have further analysed the oocyte morphological quality and its ability to resume meiosis. Oocyte quality was evaluated in 15 does at D and R. The total number of oocytes did not differ between developmental stages (784 and 711 oocytes from the embryonic diapause and reactivation, respectively, p>0.05). The total number of oocytes per animal was 52.2 ± 5.0 vs. 47.4 ± 8.0 for D and R, respectively (p>0.05). When ovary slicing is performed, oocytes are retrieved from follicles differing in size, which can lead to the similar observed oocyte numbers. The total average number of oocytes suitable for IVM (Qualities I-III) per animal was 27.7 ± 3.0 for D and 12.8 ± 2.0 for R (p<0.05). Maturation rates were randomly analysed in oocytes from 11 and 8 animals for the diapause and reactivation group, respectively. A total of 154 and 118 oocytes were fixed and stained for nuclear status after IVM for the two evaluated periods, respectively. The proportion of oocytes in GVBD and MI stages was significantly higher for the reactivation group (see Figure 3, p<0.05). No differences were observed in the proportion of GV, AI, TI, MII and degenerated oocytes (p>0.05) (see Figure 3). In cattle, no differences have been reported regarding the number of follicle number, of collected oocytes, of the cleavage rates and of blastocyst formation, when ovum pick up has been performed in pregnant and non-pregnant donors [26]. Thus, the lower number in oocytes suitable for IVM and reaching the MII stage might suggest an increased follicular atresia after embryonic reactivation.



Figure 1. Impact of the embryonic diapause period on the ovarian follicle number in the roe deer. Ovaries were retrieved between October - January. a Total number of antral follicles present during embryonic diapause and reactivation. b Antral follicle number for big: ≥5 mm, medium: 1.1-4.9 mm and small: ≤ 1 mm follicle sizes. Each dot corresponds to one animal, including both ovaries. Data were compared by Kruskal-Wallis test (p<0.05).

Diapause

Ovaries with CL not fully integrated in ovarian structures



- Ovaries with CL integrated in ovarian structures



Reactivation



Ovaries with CL integrated in ovarian structures



Figure 2. Histological sections of roe deer ovaries during embryonic diapause and after embryonic reactivation. Samples were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Solid arrows indicate atretic follicles. CL, corpus luteum.



Oocyte developmental stage

Figure 3. Effects of the embryonic developmental stage (determined after embryo retrieval by uterine flushing) on the meiotic resumption capacity of roe deer oocytes. The developmental state was assessed 22-26 hours post IVM. Bars represent the percentages calculated using the total number of oocytes per treatment. *Represents statistical significance for the respective meiotic status between treatments (p<0.05). NE, non-evaluable; GV, germinal vesicle stage; GVBD, germinal vesicle breakdown; MI, metaphase I; AI, anaphase I; TI, Telophase I; MII, metaphase II; Deg, Degenerated.

Transcriptome analysis of roe deer oocytes

We have performed RNA-sequencing on freshly collected GV and *in vitro* matured oocytes (MII). A total of 220.2 million raw reads were obtained from the eight libraries. Low-quality reads and PCR duplicates were removed and a total of 72.8 million clean reads (20.6 million for GV diapause, 19.4 million for GV reactivation, 16.0 million for MII diapause and 16.7 million for MII reactivation) were further processed for the analyses. A total of 7995 genes were identified and annotated. Additionally, 4997 and 4868 transcripts for GV and MII oocytes were identified but could not be annotated and were not included for further analyses, limiting the current results. Most of the identified genes (6239, 78%) were detected in both GV and MII oocytes. Moreover, some genes were only present in GV (778, 9.7%) or MII (397, 4.9%) oocytes (see Figure 4). The results from MII oocytes might not completely resemble the portrait of *in vivo* matured oocytes, because it has been demonstrated that the expression pattern in these two types of oocytes can differ [31].

The unsupervised clustering of the samples by multiple dimension scaling (MDS) analysis showed a tight grouping according to the oocyte stage and the embryo developmental period (Figure 5). For the dimension 1, an evident separation of the GV oocyte from MII oocytes was observed. The dimension 2 displayed the separation of the oocyte samples according to the diapause and reactivation period (see Figure 5). Additionally, a hierarchical clustering analysis of the differentially expressed genes (DEG) was performed for the four different comparisons (see Figure 6). For oocytes in the GV stage, 18 genes were found to be up-regulated (40.9 % of DEG) and 26 down-regulated (59.1 %) during diapause (see Figure 6a). For MII oocytes, 14 genes were up-regulated (43.7 %) and 18 down-regulated (56.2 %) during diapause (see Figure 6b). When metaphase II oocytes were compared to GV oocytes, 81 (7.9 %) and 220 (12.2 %) genes were up-regulated and 947 (92.1%) and 1`575 (87.7%) were down-regulated during the diapause and reactivation periods,

respectively (Figure 6c-d, and Bernal Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 <u>https://www.diapause2019.ethz.ch/abstract-submission/</u>).



Figure 4. Venn diagram displaying the overlaps of detectable genes. GV, Germinal vesicle; MII, metaphase II; D, diapause; R, reactivation



Figure 5. Multidimensional scaling plot for all identified transcripts based on pairwise fold changes between samples. GV, germinal vesicle; MII, metaphase II; D, diapause; R, reactivation; 1, sample 1; 2, sample 2.

Differentially expressed transcripts in immature and mature oocytes

The potential effects of the embryonic stage (D vs R) on the oocyte transcriptome were evaluated. Only few genes were found to be differentially expressed in GV and MII oocytes between the two evaluated embryonic periods (GV: 44, MII: 32) (see Figure 7, Table 2-3). From the total number of DEG, more than 700 genes were present in more than one of the evaluated comparisons (overlapping sector of the Venn diagram) (see Figure 7). However, an important number of DEG were found to be only present in the non-overlapping sector of the Venn diagram for each comparison (see Figure 7). When the oocyte profiles after maturation were evaluated against GV oocytes, a lower number of DEG in MII oocytes from the diapause group (GV D vs MII D) was observed (1`028 and 1`795 for D and R, respectively). As the culture conditions were identical for both groups of oocytes, the observed changes are most likely a result of intrinsic anomalies of the oocytes, probably due to the embryonic developmental stage and not due to the maturation system. However, the effects of hormonal profiles, seasonality or time of conception cannot be excluded. Oocytes at the germinal vesicle stage are transcriptionally active and can replace degraded transcripts [32]. Nevertheless, during maturation, oocytes undergo selective degradation of transcripts [33] and MII oocytes become quiescent, while they remain able to use the stored transcripts for protein synthesis. This transcriptional activity pattern could cover-up early or increased consumption of some transcripts, which might be only detectable after the oocyte reaches the MII stage. An increased number of DEG in MII relative to GV oocytes has likewise been reported in oocytes from advanced-age women related to younger-age women, which was a result of a stress-induced usage of transcripts in the aged oocytes [34]. This might indicate that roe deer oocytes after embryonic reactivation experience additional cellular stress, comparable to agingrelated processes. This hypothesis needs further analyses for validation.

Proceedings of III International Symposium on Embryonic Diapause 218



Figure 6. Hierarchical clustering analysis of differentially expressed genes for **(a)** Germinal vesicle oocytes (GV), **(b)** Metaphase II oocytes (MII), **(c)** GV versus MII oocytes during diapause, **(d)** GV versus MII oocytes during reactivation. Mean-centered expression values (log2 counts per million of sample - mean of log2 counts per million of all samples). Adjusted p-value <0.01 and > 1 log2 FC. Colour scale from -2 (blue, lower than the mean) to 2 (red, higher than the mean). Each row corresponds to one gene and each column to one sample. D, diapause; R, reactivation; 1, sample 1; 2, sample 2

Some of the identified DEG in GV and MII oocytes during diapause were related to cell cycle, oxidative stress, metabolism and apoptosis. A selection of up-regulated DEG in GV and/or MII included important signalling-related genes such as the N-myc downstream-regulated gene 3 (NDRG3), involved in cell proliferation, apoptosis and differentiation and up-regulated in malignant tumours [35]. Furthermore, Nicastrin (NCSTN) as a crucial component of the γ -secretase (GS) enzyme, plays a role in developmental signalling via the transcription factor Notch. Its expression has been involved in propagation and invasion of tumours [36]. SOD2 [37] and TXNIP [38] are modulators of cellular redox signalling protecting the cells from oxidative stress. The adiponectin receptor 2 (ADIPOR2) has been found to be down-regulated in GV oocytes of 32-week-old mice (aged) [39]. The activation of PDE3A, an oocyte specific phosphodiesterase, which remains inactive during the oocyte arrest at the prophase I, leads to a drop in intra-oocyte cAMP levels and meiotic resumption [40] (see Table 2-3).

Among the down-regulated DEG were UDP-glucose 6-dehydrogenase (UGDH), Morf4 family associated protein 1 (MRFAP1), protein phosphatase Mg2+/Mn2+ dependent 1B (PPM1B), transmembrane protein 59 (TMEM59) and cyclin dependent kinase 12 (CDK12). UGDH is involved in signal transduction, cell migration, and cancer growth and metastasis and has been reported to be down-regulated in slowed tumour cell metabolism [41]. Additionally, overexpression of MRFAP1 has resulted in decreased cell growth and increased mitotic apoptosis in HeLa cells [42]. The PPM1B

catalyses the dephosphorylation of a selection of proteins. Its overexpression causes cell-growth arrest or cell death [43]. The overexpression of TMEM59, has been found to stimulate apoptosis in glioma cells [44]. Downregulation of CDK12 has been observed in diapause like-stage with slowed aging in Drosophila melanogaster [45].

Altogether, the expression patterns of the DEG in both GV and MII oocytes match a profile of decreased apoptosis, reduced oxidative stress, higher oocyte survival and slowed aging during embryonic diapause. This suggests a role of this developmental stage on oocyte quality (see Table 2-3).



Figure 7. Venn diagram displaying the overlaps of differentially expressed genes. GV, germinal vesicle; MII, metaphase II; D, diapause; R, reactivation

Table 2. List of differentially expressed genes (DEG) in GV roe deer oocytes during embryonic diapause related to after embryo reactivation

Gene symbol	Gene description	Log2 fold change*	adj.pvalue
LOC110743349	Uncharacterized	-10.33	1.8E-19
PNRC1	proline rich nuclear receptor coactivator 1	-7.19	2.8E-16
PLPP1	phospholipid phosphatase 1	-7.15	1.5E-11
PYURF	PIGY upstream reading frame	-6.89	2.5E-15
TMEM123	transmembrane protein 123	-6.20	1.3E-06
GPSM2	G protein signalling modulator 2	-6.04	6.6E-05
RBMS1	RNA binding motif single stranded interacting protein 1	-4.09	4.6E-04
C1orf115	chromosome 1 open reading frame 115	-3.46	1.5E-04
SOD2	superoxide dismutase 2	-2.71	5.9E-10
OGT	O-linked N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) transferase	-2.24	6.4E-03
CNOT1	CCR4-NOT transcription complex subunit 1	-2.01	4.0E-04

DOI:	10.1530/biosciprocs.10.015	
	10.1000/01030101003.10.010	

ELP3elongator acetyltransferase complex subunit 3-2.014.0F.03BU031BU031 homolog-1.83-1.1F.04MORF4L2mortality factor 4 like 2-1.79-2.3F.06GPATCH11G-patch domain containing 11-1.75-2.4F.07CLC2childe channel CLI like 1-1.75-2.4F.07MAPR2Microtuble associated protein RP/EB family member 2-1.67-2.4F.07GCH1GT0 cyclohydrolase 1-1.65-6.8F.08DJ73DNA damage induclibe transcript 3-1.39-1.4F.03L0C10955216Incharacterized-1.32-6.7F.03VIRMXnicotinamide riboside kinase 1-1.31-6.7F.03DG740signarcognito particle 9-1.21-5.1F.03DG740signarcogniton particle 9-1.21-5.1F.03DG740signarcogniton particle 9-1.21-5.1F.03DG740signarcogniton particle 9-1.21-5.1F.03DG740signarcogniton particle 9-1.21-5.1F.03DG740signarcogniton particle 9-1.21-5.1F.03DG740signarcogniton particle 9-1.21-5.1F.03DG741signarcogniton particle 9-1.21-5.1F.03DG741signarcogniton particle 9-1.21-5.1F.03DG741signarcogniton particle 9-1.21-5.1F.03DG741signarcogniton particle 9-1.21-5.1F.03DG741signarcogniton particle 9-5.1F.03-5.1F.03DG741signarcogniton particle 9-5.	220			
BUD31BUD31 homolog1.811.18-04MORF4L2mortality factor 4 like 21.792.3E-06GPATCH1G-patch domain containing 111.751.1E-05CLC1cholicid channel CLC like 11.752.4E-07MAPRE2microtubule associated protein RP/EB family member 21.673.5E-08GCH1GP cyclohydrolase 11.566.8E-08DD73DNA damage inducible transcript 31.566.8E-08NMK1incitandier iboside kinase 11.391.4E-03LOC10955216Uncharcterized1.256.5E-03CD74cell division cycle 401.215.1E-03CD74ignal recognition particle 91.053.6E-08NM74ispan cognition particle 91.053.6E-08CD74cell division cycle 401.215.6E-08CD74ispan cognition particle 91.053.6E-08CD74ispan cognition particle 91.053.6E-08AT75Uncharcterized1.273.5E-08AT75Uncharcterized1.273.5E-08AT75Uncharcterized SG1.313.5E-08AT75Uncharcterized SG1.323.6E-08AT75Uncharcterized SG1.323.6E-08AT75Uncharcterized SG1.323.6E-08AT75Uncharcterized SG1.323.6E-08AT75Uncharcterized SG1.323.6E-08AT75Uncharcterized SG1.323.6E-08AT75Uncharcterized SG <td< td=""><td>ELP3</td><td>elongator acetyltransferase complex subunit 3</td><td>-2.01</td><td>4.0E-03</td></td<>	ELP3	elongator acetyltransferase complex subunit 3	-2.01	4.0E-03
MORF4L2envaluity factor 4 like 2.1.7.9.2.3.F.6.6GPATCH11G-patch domain containing 11.1.7.5.1.1.F.0.5CLC1chloride channel CLIC like 1.1.7.5.2.4.F.0.7MAPRE2microtubule associated protein RP/EB family member 2.1.6.7.1.6.7GCH1GT cyclohydrolase 1.1.6.1.5.6.7.5DDT3DNA damage inducible transcript 3.1.5.6.6.8.F.0.8NMR41nicotinamide riboside kinase 1.1.38.6.7.6.3GSBPJ0Oxytacretized.1.25.6.5.F.0.3GDG40characterized.1.25.6.5.F.0.3CDC400colution cycle 40.1.21.5.F.0.3SRP1signal recognition particle 9.1.01.5.F.0.3ICC11360151Uncharacterized.1.01.5.F.0.3VC11360151uncarcterized calconamide ribonucleotide formyltransferas/MM.1.21.3.F.0.3ICT1360151threonyl-tRNA synthetase.1.21.3.F.0.3ICT136015153Uncharacterized S.1.41.2.7.7.3.F.0.3ICT13615153Uncharacterized SA.1.61.1.61.5.6.7.3ICT13615153Uncharacterized SA.2.1.6.8.7.8.3.8.7.3ICT13615153Uncharacterized SA.2.1.5.6.7.3ICT13615153Uncharacterized SA.2.1.3.8.7.3ICT13615153Uncharacterized SA.2.1.3.8.7.3ICT13615153Uncharacterized SA.2.1.3.8.7.3ICT13615153Uncharacterized SA.3.6.7.3.3.8.7.3 <td>BUD31</td> <td>BUD31 homolog</td> <td>-1.83</td> <td>1.1E-04</td>	BUD31	BUD31 homolog	-1.83	1.1E-04
GPATCH11G.Patch domain containing 11-1.751.1E-05CLC1chloride channel CLIC like 1-1.752.4E-07MAPRE2microtubule associated protein RP/EB family member 21.671.8E-03GCH1GTP cyclohydrolase 1-1.615.6E-05DDT3DNA damage inducible transcript 3-1.566.8E-08NMK1nicotinamide riboside kinase 1-1.391.4E-03LOC10952160Unbracterized-1.256.5E-03DSBPJoxysterol binding protein like 9-1.215.1E-03CDC40el division cycle 40-1.215.1E-03SRP4ispal recognition particle 9-1.015.6E-03CD11360150Jucharcterized-1.015.6E-03SRP5oxysterol binding protein like 9-1.015.6E-03CD11360150ispal recognition particle 9-1.015.6E-03AT7CSignal recognition particle 9-1.025.6E-03AT7CS-aminoinidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/LPM1.6E-04AT7SUnbrarcterized1.624.6E-04LOC1361533Unbrarcterized1.8E-031.6E-04LOC1361533Unbrarcterized SA1.215.8E-01FMAhospodiesterase 3A2.219.8E-11FMANanscription, translation and transport factor1.619.6E-02FMANanscription, translation and transport factor5.214.8E-03FMANagonein torialing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.547.1E-03FMASH odo	MORF4L2	mortality factor 4 like 2	-1.79	2.3E-06
CLCC1chloride channel CLI like 12.4.F.0.2.4.F.0.MAPRE2microtubue associated protein RP/EB family member 2-1.6.71.8.F.0.3GCH1GT cyclohydrolase 1-1.6.15.6.F.0.3DDT3DNA damage inducible transcript 3-1.5.66.8.F.0.8NMRAnicotinanide riboside kinase 1-1.3.91.4.F.0.3LOC10952104Indracterized-1.3.86.7.F.0.8OSBP.0oxysterol binding protein like 9-1.2.56.5.F.0.3CDC40Edivision cycle 40-1.2.15.1.F.0.3LRC11leucin cin che repeats and calponin homology domain containing 11.1.56.4.F.0.3SRP9agnal recognition particle 9-1.0.13.6.F.0.3CDC40Signal recognition particle 9-1.0.15.6.F.0.3SRP1undarcterized-1.0.13.6.F.0.3SRP2signal recognition particle 9-1.0.15.6.F.0.3ATC3bioschydrolase1.2.13.6.F.0.3ATC4bioschydrolase1.2.13.6.F.0.3ATC5bioschydrolase1.2.13.6.F.0.3ATS5bioschydrolase1.4.F.0.33.6.F.0.3ATS6bioschydrolase1.4.F.0.33.6.F.0.3ATS7bioschydrolase1.6.F.0.33.6.F.0.3ATS7bioschydrolase1.6.F.0.33.6.F.0.3ATS7bioschydrolase1.6.F.0.33.6.F.0.3ATS7bioschydrolase1.6.F.0.33.6.F.0.3ATS7bioschydrolase1.6.F.0.33.6.F.0.3 <td< td=""><td>GPATCH11</td><td>G-patch domain containing 11</td><td>-1.75</td><td>1.1E-05</td></td<>	GPATCH11	G-patch domain containing 11	-1.75	1.1E-05
MARRE2nicrotubule associated protein RP/EB family member 21.611.8E-03GCH1GTP cyclohydrolase 15.6E-05DDT3DNA damage inducible transcript 31.5G6.8E-08NMRK1incitnamide riboside kinase 11.391.4E-03LOC10955216Uncharcterized1.326.5E-03OSBPL9oxysterol binding protein like 91.215.1E-03LRC41leuine rich repeats and calponin homology domain containing 11.173.6E-03LRC13signal recognition particle 91.052.4E-03LRC13Uncharcterized1.015.0E-08ATRsignal recognition particle 91.015.0E-08ATRsignal recognition particle 91.015.0E-08ATRStheoret-tracboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMP3.6E-03ATRStheoret-tracboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMP3.6E-03ATRStheoret-tracboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMP3.6E-03ATRStheoret-tracboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMP3.6E-03FMAtheoret-tracboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMP3.6E-03CATRStheoret-tracboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMP3.6E-03FMAFtheoret-tracboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMP3.6E-03CATRStheoret-tracboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMP3.6E-03FMAFtheoret-tracboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMP3.6E-03FMAFAtheoret-tracboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMP3.6E-03 <td>CLCC1</td> <td>chloride channel CLIC like 1</td> <td>-1.75</td> <td>2.4E-07</td>	CLCC1	chloride channel CLIC like 1	-1.75	2.4E-07
GCH1GTP cyclohydrolae 15.6E-05DDT3DNA damage inducible transcript 3-1.556.8E-08NMRK1nicotinamide riboside kinase 1-1.391.4E-03LOC10955216Uncharacterized-1.386.7E-08OSBPL9oxysterol binding protein like 9-1.256.5E-03CDC40El division cycle 40-1.215.1E-03LRCH1locine rich repeats and calponin homology domain containing 11.173.6E-03SRP9signal recognition particle 9-1.052.4E-03LC11360315Uncharacterized-1.015.0E-08ATCsaminoimidizole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/MP cyclohydrolaes1.8E-033.6E-08ATR5heronyl-tRNA synthetase1.273.6E-03KMT58heronyl-tRNA synthetase1.273.6E-03LDC1136135Joharacterized1.923.6E-03FMT4heatock protein family A (Hsp70) member 1A1.644.9E-04LDC136135Joharacterized1.853.6E-03FMT4Indracterized1.851.6E-03FMT4heatock protein family A (Hsp70) member 1A1.644.9E-04LDC136135Joharacterized1.6E-033.6E-03FMT4heatock protein family A (Hsp70) member 1A1.6E-033.6E-03FMT4heatock protein family A (Hsp70) member 1A1.6E-033.6E-03FMT4heatock protein family A (Hsp70)1.6E-033.6E-03FMT4heatock protein family A (Hsp70)1.6E-033.6E-03<	MAPRE2	microtubule associated protein RP/EB family member 2	-1.67	1.8E-03
DDT3DNA damage inducible transcript 31.566.8E-08NMRK1nicotinamide riboside kinase 11.391.4E-03L0C10955216Uncharaterized1.386.7E-08OSBPL9oxysterol binding protein like 91.256.5E-03CDC40cell division cycle 401.215.1E-03LRCH1leucine rich repeats and calponin homology domain containing 11.713.6E-08LRCH2signal recognition particle 91.052.4E-03LOC1360915Uncharaterized1.015.0E-08ATrCsominidiazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IVP cyclohydrolase1.253.6E-08ATRSthreonyl-tRNA synthetase1.211.3E-08LOC1361515Uncharaterized (Lispon)1.3E-083.6E-08LOC1361515Uncharaterized (Lispon)1.3E-083.6E-08FHmarate hydratase 5B1.412.0E-07LDC1361515Uncharaterized (Lispon)1.3E-083.5E-16FHmarate hydratase1.213.5E-16FHmarate hydratase1.213.5E-16FHsophodiesterase 3A2.123.6E-08FBXO38F-box protein 3B1.0E-083.6E-08FBXO38F-box protein 3B5.123.6E-08FBXO39Inderacterized (Lispon)5.29.8E-06FBXO30Inderacterized (Lispon)5.23.6E-08FBXO30Inderacterized (Lispon)5.23.6E-08FBXO30Inderacterized (Lispon)5.23.6E-08	GCH1	GTP cyclohydrolase 1	-1.61	5.6E-05
NMRK1nicotinamide riboside kinase 1-1.391.4E-03LOC10955210Uncharactrized-1.386.7E-08OSBPL9oxysterol binding protein like 9-1.256.5E-03CDC40celdivision cycle 40-1.215.1E-03LRCH1leucine rich repeats and calponin homology domain containing 1-1.713.6E-03SRP9signal recognition particle 9-1.015.0E-08LOC11360915Uncharacterized-1.015.0E-08ATCschonyl-tRNA synthetase1.213.6E-08KMT58Iteronyl-tRNA synthetase 5B1.412.0E-07LOC11361515Uncharacterized 1.412.0E-07KMT58Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16H74Marate hydratase 5B1.313.5E-16L75Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L71Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L71Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L71Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L71Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L71Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L71Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L72Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L73Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L74Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L75Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L75Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L75Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L75Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L75Indenaterized 1.453.5E-16L75Indenaterized 1.45 <td>DDIT3</td> <td>DNA damage inducible transcript 3</td> <td>-1.56</td> <td>6.8E-08</td>	DDIT3	DNA damage inducible transcript 3	-1.56	6.8E-08
LOC109552161Uncharacterized-1.386.7F-08OSBPL9oxysterol binding protein like 9-1.256.5F-03CDC40cell division cycle 40-1.215.1F-03LRCH1leucine rich repeats and calponin homology domain containing 1-1.713.6F-03SRP9sigal recognition particle 9-1.052.4F-03LOC11360915Uncharacterized-1.015.0F-08ATCSaminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferasol/PM cyclohydrolase1.253.6F-08TARStheonyl-tRNA synthetase1.211.3F-03KMT5Blysine methyltransferaso 581.412.0F-07LDC11361515Uncharacterized1.493.5F-16FHimaracterized1.433.5F-16FHfunarate hydratase1.813.5F-16FRAFNahranscription, translation and transport factor1.813.5F-16FBX034Foxportein 381.0E-081.6E-04FBX034Foxportein 381.0E-081.6E-04FBX034Foxportein 381.0E-081.6E-04FBX034Foxportein 381.0E-081.6E-04FBX034Foxportein 381.0E-081.6E-04FBX034Foxportein 381.6E-041.6E-04FBX034Foxportein 381.6E-041.6E-04FBX034Foxportein 381.6E-041.6E-04FBX034Foxportein 381.6E-041.6E-04FBX034Foxportein 381.6E-041.6E-04FBX034Foxportein 381	NMRK1	nicotinamide riboside kinase 1	-1.39	1.4E-03
OSBPL9oxysterol binding protein like 9-1.256.5E-03CDC40cell division cycle 40-1.215.1E-03LRCH1leucine rich repeats and calponin homology domain containing 1-1.173.6E-03SRP9signal recognition particle 9-1.052.4E-03LC11360915Uncharacterized-1.015.0E-08ATCsaminoinidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IVP cyclohydrolase1.273.5E-08TARStheonyl-tRNA synthetase1.271.3E-03KMT5Blysine methyltransferase 5B1.412.0E-07LFAPA1Aheat shock protein family A (Hsp70) member 1A1.644.9E-04LC11361515Uncharacterized1.733.5E-16FHfumarte hydratase1.8E-031.6E-08PD5Apolsphodiesterase 3A2.191.6E-08PD5ANat nanscription, translation and transport factor3.681.6E-08COG1omponent of oligomeric golgi complex 15.029.8E-08SH3GL1SH2D4 binding protein 2 like 1, endophilin A25.529.8E-06SH3GL1SH2D4 binding protein 2 like 25.663.2E-07SH3GL2Vicin G11.6E-071.6E-071.6E-07SH3GL3HSD4 binding protein 2 like 25.613.2E-07SH3GL4SH2D4 binding protein 2 like 25.613.2E-07SH3GL5SH3GL4SH2D45.623.2E-07SH3GL4SH2D4 binding protein 2 like 25.613.2E-07SH3GL5SH3GL4SH2D4	LOC109552164	Uncharacterized	-1.38	6.7E-08
CDC40cell division cycle 40-1.215.1E-03LRCH1lectine rich repeats and calponin homology domain containing 1-1.173.6E-03SRP9signal recognition particle 9-1.015.0E-08LOC11360915Uncharacterized-1.015.0E-08ATCSaminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMD cyclohydrolase1.253.6E-08TARSthreonyl-tRNA synthetase1.271.3E-03KMT5Blysine methyltransferase 5B1.412.0E-07LOC11361515Uncharacterized1.733.5E-16FHfumarate hydratase1.851.8E-03TAC1tochykinin precursor 12.191.6E-08PDE3Aplosphodiesterase 3A2.219.8E-11RTRAFRNA transcription, translation and transport factor3.681.0E-08FBX038F-box protein 385.001.6E-08COG1omponent of oligomeric golgi complex 15.122.4E-04LOC10948896Uncharacterized5.529.8E-06SH3GL1SH3dmin containing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.643.24E-03SH3GL1schonal intercing protein 2 like 25.601.4E-05STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.4E-05STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.4E-05STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.4E-05STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.4E-05STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.4E-05STAG1Stromal antigen 16.07 <td>OSBPL9</td> <td>oxysterol binding protein like 9</td> <td>-1.25</td> <td>6.5E-03</td>	OSBPL9	oxysterol binding protein like 9	-1.25	6.5E-03
LRCH1leucine rich repeats and calponin homology domain containing 1-1.173.6E-03SRP9signal recognition particle 9-1.052.4E-03LOC11360917Uncharcterized-1.015.0E-08ATRCsaminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMP cyclohydrolase1.253.6E-08TARStheonyl-tRNA synthetase1.271.3E-03KMT5BIsine methyltransferase 5B1.410.0E-07LOC1136153Uncharcterized Mathematic	CDC40	cell division cycle 40	-1.21	5.1E-03
SRP9signal recognition particle 9-1.052.4E-03LOC11360915Uncharcterized-1.015.0E-08ATRsiminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/l/m1.253.6E-08TARStheonyl-tRNA synthetase1.271.3E-03KMT50hysine methyltransferase 5B1.412.0E-07LDC11361515Uncharcterized (Hsp70) member 1A1.644.9E-04LOC11361515Uncharcterized (Hsp70) member 1A1.644.9E-04LOC11361515Uncharcterized (Hsp70) member 1A1.613.5E-16FMimarate hydratase1.613.5E-16FMfumarate hydratase1.8E-031.8E-03FDE3Aphosphodiesterase 3A2.219.8E-10PE3Aphosphodiesterase 3A2.219.8E-10FBXO38Fbxo protein 3B1.0E-081.0E-08FBXO38Fox protein 3B1.0E-081.6E-04COG1Ononent of oligomerize goli complex 15.129.8E-06FJAGL1Stodaming GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.529.8E-06FMAP212IAE-015.547.1E-03FMAP214NED4 binding protein 2 like 25.623.8E-06FMAP215idenait for the fille 15.021.8E-07FMAP216Hord Antigen 16.001.4E-07FMAP217Hord Antigen 16.001.4E-07FMAP218Hord Antigen 16.001.4E-07FMAP219Hord Antigen 16.001.4E-07FMAP219Hord Antigen 16.	LRCH1	leucine rich repeats and calponin homology domain containing 1	-1.17	3.6E-03
LOC113609159Uncharacterized-1.015.0E-08ATICSaminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IVM sclohydrolase1.253.6E-08TARSHreonyl-tRNA synthetase1.271.3E-03KMT5BIssie methyltransferase 5B1.412.0E-07LDC11361515Incharacterized1.644.9E-04LDC11361515Uncharacterized1.733.5E-16FHImarate hydratase1.851.8E-03FAC1tashylin precursor 12.191.6E-08PDE3Aphosphodiesterase 3A2.219.8E-11RTRAFRNA transcription, translation and transport factor5.031.6E-04COG1component of oligomeric golgi complex 15.122.4E-04LOC10948805Incharacterized5.547.1E-03N4B9212NED4 binding protein 2 like 1, endophilin A25.547.1E-03N4B9214Stodamin containing GR82 like 1, endophilin A25.662.3E-06CNG1Upin G11.4E-071.4E-07N4B9214NED4 binding protein 2 like 25.662.3E-06STAG1Stomal antigen 16.071.4E-07TXNPtionedoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06TXNPtionedoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06NBCstomal antigen 15.051.4E-07STAG2tionedoxin interacting protein5.063.8E-06STAG1tionedoxin interacting protein5.063.8E-06STAG2tionedoxin interacting protein <td>SRP9</td> <td>signal recognition particle 9</td> <td>-1.05</td> <td>2.4E-03</td>	SRP9	signal recognition particle 9	-1.05	2.4E-03
ATICSaminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferases/IVP cyclohydrolase1.253.6E.08TARSHreonyl-tRNA synthetase1.271.3E.03KMT5BIyine methyltransferase 581.412.0E.07HSPA1Aheat shock protein family A (Hsp70) member 1A1.644.9E.04LC011361535Uncharcterized1.733.5E.16FHfumarte hydratase1.851.8E.037AC1takykini precursor 12.191.6E.08PDE3Ahosphodisterase 3A2.219.8E.11RTRAFNA transcription, translation and transport factor3.681.0E.08FBX03BF-box protein 385.029.8E.10COG1omponent of oligomeric golgi complex 15.129.8E.10LOC10948965Jucharcterized5.529.8E.10SH3GL1NEDA binding protein 2 like 25.547.1E.03NABP2L2NEDA binding protein 2 like 26.001.4E.07STAG1stomal antigen 16.071.1E.07TXNPthioredoxin interacting protein5.059.8E.10NDRG3NDR family member 35.059.8E.10	LOC113609157	Uncharacterized	-1.01	5.0E-08
TARSthreonyl-tRNA synthetase1.271.3E-03KMT5Blysine methyltransferase 5B1.412.0E-07HSPA1Aheat shock protein family A (Hsp70) member 1A1.644.9E-04LOC11361513Uncharacterized1.733.5E-16FHfumarate hydratase1.851.8E-03TAC1tachykinin precursor 12.191.6E-08PDE3Aphosphodiesterase 3A2.219.8E-11RTRAFRNA transcription, translation and transport factor3.681.0E-08FBX038F-box protein 385.001.6E-04COG1component of oligomeric golgi complex 15.122.4E-04LOC10948896Incharacterized5.529.8E-06SH3GL1SH3domain containing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.547.1E-03VABP2L2NED4 binding protein 2 like 26.001.4E-05STAG1stomal antigen 16.071.1E-07TXNIPtioredoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06NDRG1NDRG family member 3A9.291.6E-04	ATIC	5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase/IMP cyclohydrolase	1.25	3.6E-08
KMT5Blysine methyltransferase 5B1.412.0E-07HSPA1Aheat shock protein family A (Hsp70) member 1A1.644.9E-04LOC11361515Uncharacterized1.733.5E-16FHfumarate hydratase1.851.8E-03TAC1tachykinin precursor 12.191.6E-08PDE3Aphosphodiesterase 3A2.219.8E-11RTRAFRNA transcription, translation and transport factor3.681.0E-08FBXO38F-box protein 385.001.6E-04COG1component of oligomeric golgi complex 15.122.4E-04LOC10948805Incharacterized5.529.8E-06SH3GL1SH3 domain containing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.642.3E-06CNG1upot protein 2 like 25.601.4E-07STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.1E-07TXNIPthoredoxin interacting protein6.071.1E-07NDRG3NDRG family member 30.029.8E-06	TARS	threonyl-tRNA synthetase	1.27	1.3E-03
HSPA1Aheat shock protein family A (Hsp70) member 1A1.644.9E-04LOC113615135Uncharacterized1.733.5E-16FHfumarate hydratase1.851.8E-03TAC1tachykinin precursor 12.191.6E-08PDE3Aphosphodiesterase 3A2.219.8E-11RTRAFRNA transcription, translation and transport factor3.681.0E-08FbX038F-box protein 385.001.6E-04COG1component of oligomeric golgi complex 15.122.4E-04LOC109488965Uncharacterized5.547.1E-03N4BP2L2NEDQ4 binding protein 2 like 1, endophilin A25.547.1E-03N4BP2L2Vicin G16.001.4E-05STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.1E-07TXNIPthioredoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06NDRG3NDRG family member 30.001.6E-04	KMT5B	lysine methyltransferase 5B	1.41	2.0E-07
LOC113615135Uncharacterized1.733.5E-16FHfumarate hydratase1.851.8E-03TAC1tachykinin precursor 12.191.6E-08PDE3Aphosphodiesterase 3A2.219.8E-11RTRAFRNA transcription, translation and transport factor3.681.0E-08FBX038F-box protein 385.001.6E-04COG1component of oligomeric golgi complex 15.122.4E-04LOC109488965Uncharacterized5.529.8E-06SH3GL1SH3 domain containing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.662.3E-06VABP2L2NEDD4 binding protein 2 like 25.663.4E-05STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.1E-07TXNIPthioredoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06NDRG3NDRG family member 39.291.6E-04	HSPA1A	heat shock protein family A (Hsp70) member 1A	1.64	4.9E-04
FHfumarate hydratase1.851.8E-03TAC1tachykinin precursor 12.191.6E-08PDE3Aphosphodiesterase 3A2.219.8E-11RTRAFRNA transcription, translation and transport factor3.681.0E-08FBX038F-box protein 385.001.6E-04COG1component of oligomeric golgi complex 15.122.4E-04LOC10948896Uncharacterized5.529.8E-06SH3GL1KB3 domain containing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.547.1E-03N4BP2L2NED4 binding protein 2 like 25.662.3E-06STAG1stromal antigen 16.001.4E-05TXNIPthioredoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06NDRG3NDRG family member 39.291.6E-04	LOC113615135	Uncharacterized	1.73	3.5E-16
TAC1tachykinin precursor 12.191.6E-08PDE3Aphosphodiesterase 3A2.219.8E-11RTRAFRNA transcription, translation and transport factor3.681.0E-08FBX038F-box protein 385.001.6E-04COG1component of oligomeric golgi complex 15.122.4E-04LOC10948896Uncharacterized5.529.8E-06SH3GL1SH3 domain containing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.547.1E-03N4BP2L2NED4 binding protein 2 like 25.662.3E-06CCNG1cyclin G11.4E-051.4E-05STAG1tioredoxin interacting protein6.071.1E-07TXNIPthioredoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06NDRG3NDRG family member 39.291.6E-04	FH	fumarate hydratase	1.85	1.8E-03
PDE3Aphosphodiesterase 3A2.219.8E-11RTRAFRNA transcription, translation and transport factor3.681.0E-08FBXO38F-box protein 385.001.6E-04COG1component of oligomeric golgi complex 15.122.4E-04LOC10948896Uncharacterized5.529.8E-06SH3GL1SH3 domain containing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.547.1E-03N4BP2L2NED4 binding protein 2 like 25.662.3E-06CCNG1oquin antigen 16.001.4E-05STAG1tioredoxin interacting protein6.071.1E-07TXNIPhioredoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06NDRG3NDRG family member 30.291.6E-40	TAC1	tachykinin precursor 1	2.19	1.6E-08
RTRAFRNA transcription, translation and transport factor3.681.0E-08FBXO38F-box protein 385.001.6E-04COG1component of oligomeric golgi complex 15.122.4E-04LOC109488965Uncharacterized5.529.8E-06SH3GL1SH3 domain containing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.547.1E-03N4BP2L2NEDD4 binding protein 2 like 26.001.4E-05STAG1cyclin G11.1E-076.071.1E-07TXNIPthioredoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06NDRG3NDRG family member 30.291.6E-40	PDE3A	phosphodiesterase 3A	2.21	9.8E-11
FBXO38F-box protein 385.001.6E-04COG1component of oligomeric golgi complex 15.122.4E-04LOC10948896Uncharacterized5.529.8E-06SH3 GL1SH3 domain containing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.547.1E-03N4BP2L2NEDD4 binding protein 2 like 25.662.3E-06CCNG1cyclin G16.001.4E-05STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.1E-07TXNIPthioredoxin interacting protein 27.059.8E-06NDRG3NDRG family member 30.291.6E-40	RTRAF	RNA transcription, translation and transport factor	3.68	1.0E-08
COG1component of oligomeric golgi complex 15.122.4E-04LOC109488965Uncharacterized5.529.8E-06SH3GL1SH3 domain containing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.547.1E-03N4BP2L2NEDD4 binding protein 2 like 25.662.3E-06CCNG1cyclin G16.001.4E-05STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.1E-07TXNIPthioredoxin interacting protein 27.059.8E-06NDRG3NDRG family member 30.291.6E-40	FBXO38	F-box protein 38	5.00	1.6E-04
LOC109488965Uncharacterized5.529.8E-06SH3 GL1SH3 domain containing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.547.1E-03N4BP2L2NED4 binding protein 2 like 25.662.3E-06CCNG1cyclin G16.001.4E-05STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.1E-07TXNIPthioredoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06NDRG3NDRG family member 30.291.6E-40	COG1	component of oligomeric golgi complex 1	5.12	2.4E-04
SH3 GL1SH3 domain containing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A25.547.1E-03N4BP2L2NEDD4 binding protein 2 like 25.662.3E-06CCNG1cyclin G16.001.4E-05STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.1E-07TXNIPthioredoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06NDRG3NDRG family member 39.291.6E-40	LOC109488965	Uncharacterized	5.52	9.8E-06
N4BP2L2NEDD4 binding protein 2 like 25.662.3E-06CCNG1cyclin G16.001.4E-05STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.1E-07TXNIPthioredoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06NDRG3NDRG family member 39.291.6E-40	SH3GL1	SH3 domain containing GRB2 like 1, endophilin A2	5.54	7.1E-03
CCNG1 cyclin G1 6.00 1.4E-05 STAG1 stromal antigen 1 6.07 1.1E-07 TXNIP thioredoxin interacting protein 7.05 9.8E-06 NDRG3 NDRG family member 3 9.29 1.6E-40	N4BP2L2	NEDD4 binding protein 2 like 2	5.66	2.3E-06
STAG1stromal antigen 16.071.1E-07TXNIPthioredoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06NDRG3NDRG family member 39.291.6E-40	CCNG1	cyclin G1	6.00	1.4E-05
TXNIPthioredoxin interacting protein7.059.8E-06NDRG3NDRG family member 39.291.6E-40	STAG1	stromal antigen 1	6.07	1.1E-07
NDRG3 NDRG family member 3 9.29 1.6E-40	TXNIP	thioredoxin interacting protein	7.05	9.8E-06
	NDRG3	NDRG family member 3	9.29	1.6E-40

* A negative log2 fold change indicates higher expression at reactivation, while a positive log2 fold change indicates higher expression at diapause

Gene symbol	Gene description	Log2 fold change *	adj.pvalue
RSL24D1	ribosomal L24 domain containing 1	-7.58	3.6E-15
UGDH	UDP-glucose 6-dehydrogenase	-7.06	5.9E-14
MRFAP1	Morf4 family associated protein 1	-4.88	1.3E-03
AP3B1	adaptor-related protein complex 3, beta 1 subunit	-4.70	5.5E-03
PPM1B	protein phosphatase, Mg2+/Mn2+ dependent 1B	-4.50	5.4E-04
CACNA2D4	calcium voltage-gated channel auxiliary subunit alpha2delta 4	-4.25	1.3E-03
FAM91A1	family with sequence similarity 91 member A1	-3.25	8.5E-03
мсс	MCC regulator of WNT signaling pathway	-2.38	1.4E-04
GPSM2	G protein signalling modulator 2	-2.15	6.9E-04
LOC107401058	Uncharacterized	-1.95	4.8E-03
COPZ1	coatomer protein complex subunit zeta 1	-1.74	1.6E-03
CLIP1	CAP-Gly domain containing linker protein 1	-1.62	4.6E-08
CDK12	cyclin dependent kinase 12	-1.46	8.5E-03
TOR1A	torsin family 1 member A	-1.25	7.9E-03
TMEM59	transmembrane protein 59	-1.21	5.1E-04
LOC109552164	Uncharacterized	-1.21	1.2E-06
ACSL3	acyl-CoA synthetase long chain family member 3	-1.14	3.2E-04
G3BP1	G3BP stress granule assembly factor 1	-1.10	3.4E-04
NIFK	nucleolar protein interacting with the FHA domain of MKI67	1.03	8.5E-03
ZKSCAN8	zinc finger with KRAB and SCAN domains 8	1.06	2.1E-03
SNX10	sorting nexin 10	1.51	1.2E-03
UBE2R2	ubiquitin conjugating enzyme E2 R2	1.55	1.3E-03
ELOVL7	ELOVL fatty acid elongase 7	1.63	7.9E-03
ECHDC1	ethylmalonyl-CoA decarboxylase 1	1.81	2.8E-05
SYNJ2	synaptojanin 2	2.42	2.6E-03
TAC1	tachykinin precursor 1	2.56	4.4E-09
H310_01334	hypothetical protein	3.94	6.9E-04
HSP90AB1	heat shock protein 90 alpha family class B member 1	4.48	4.4E-04
ADIPOR2	adiponectin receptor 2	4.99	2.1E-03
SOD2	superoxide dismutase 2	5.63	5.7E-06
RBMS1	RNA binding motif single stranded interacting protein 1	5.63	2.4E-03
NCSTN	nicastrin	5.71	2.1E-04

Table 3. List of differentially expressed genes in MII roe deer oocytes during embryonic diapause related to reactivation

* A negative log2 fold change indicates higher expression at reactivation, while a positive log2 fold change indicates higher expression at diapause

Functional analysis of DEG in GV and MII oocytes and GV versus MII oocytes

For the GV_D_vs_MII_D and GV_R_vs_MII_R comparisons, an IPA analysis was performed including canonical pathways, functions and upstream regulators. Due to the low number of differentially expressed genes (DEG) for the GV_D_vs_GV_R and MII_D_vs_MII_R comparisons, the IPA analysis did not provide statistically significant results.

A function enrichment analysis of DEG in MII oocytes relative to GV oocytes was performed and several categories were overrepresented for the DEG of both developmental stages, such as, "Cellular development, Cellular growth and proliferation", "Cell death and survival", "Cancer", "Cancer, Organismal injury and abnormalities", "DNA replication, recombination, repair", "Protein synthesis", and "Nucleic Acid Metabolism, Small Molecule Biochemistry" (see Table 4). Additionally, "Amino acid metabolism, Post-translational modification, Small molecule biochemistry" and "Molecular transport" were only identified in the diapause group. "Cellular function and maintenance", "Cellular assembly and Organization", "Cell cycle, DNA replication, recombination, and repair", "Carbohydrate Metabolism", "Energy production, Nucleic acid Metabolism, Small molecule biochemistry", and "Gene expression, Protein synthesis" were only enriched at reactivation (see Table 4).

We further analysed the pathway enrichment in MII oocytes compared to GV oocytes. From a total of 39 enriched canonical pathways that were identified (see Table 5), 26 showed a similar inhibition pattern between the diapause and reactivation groups, including "Oxidative phosphorylation", known as one the major pathways for ATP production and also related to the transcript selective degradation process during oocyte maturation in other species [33]. A total of 11 additional canonical pathways that appeared as inhibited were only enriched in the reactivation period and two were only enriched in MII oocyte from the diapause period. The observed increase in number of DEG in the GV_R_vs_MII_R comparison was also reflected in the enrichment of additional functions and pathways. It is known that mitochondria play a crucial role to provide the ATP required for oocyte maturation. In addition, they contain anti-apoptotic and apoptogenic components, which have been related to abnormal activation or fragmentation in aged oocytes (reviewed by [46]). "Function of mitochondria", "Formation of cytoskeleton", "Synthesis of ATP", and "Development of mitochondria" were some of the functions additionally found inhibited or decreased in the oocytes from the reactivation group, suggesting a possible dysfunction of mitochondria in these oocytes. Furthermore, "Homologous recombination" and "Repair of DNA" functions were also found in these oocytes, which might implicate altered meiosis. Two additional depleted pathways in the GV_R_vs_MII_R group ("Cysteine biosynthesis" and "Methionine degradation I") are necessary for "Protein synthesis", another dysregulated function. These modifications might be related to the also found "EIF2 Signalling", since they are involved in global protein synthesis and translation of specific stress-associated mRNA transcripts [47]. Although "Cell survival" was decreased in both groups of oocytes (GV D vs MII D and GV R vs MII R), "Apoptosis" was increased after embryonic reactivation, leading to speculate that apoptosis could have already started in the follicle, thereby decreasing the oocyte quality and meiotic resumption capacity, as also observed in our study.

Selective degradation of maternal RNA in oocytes is necessary for oocyte to zygote activation and oocyte meiosis to blastomere mitosis transition [48]. The abnormal degradation of determined transcripts might affect oocyte quality and further embryo development [49], which could be the consequence of the observed expression pattern in the oocytes from the reactivation group.

Function categories	Diseases and Bio Functions	Z- score	Z- score	Number of	Number of	
		MII vs. GV	MII vs. GV	genes	genes	
		reactivation	diapause	MII vs. GV reactivation	MII vs. GV diapause	
Cell Death and Survival	Apoptosis	3.6	-	353	-	
Cellular Development, Cellular Growth and Proliferation	Cell proliferation of tumour cell lines	-3.6	-3.4	222	140	
Cell Death and Survival	Cell survival	-7.6	-4.4	200	114	
Cancer	Cell transformation	-3.0	-2.7	63	47	
Cellular Function and Maintenance	Cellular homeostasis	-2.6	-	203	-	
Cancer, Organismal Injury and Abnormalities	Development of malignant tumour	2.6	2.2	785	483	
Cellular Assembly and Organization	Development of mitochondria	-2.1	-	10	-	
DNA Replication, Recombination, and Repair	Excision repair	-2.8	-2.2	22	14	
Cellular Assembly and Organization	Formation of cytoskeleton	-4.0	-	55	-	
Cellular Assembly and Organization, Cellular Function and Maintenance	Function of mitochondria	-2.0	-	20	-	
Cell Cycle, DNA Replication, Recombination, and Repair	Homologous recombination	-2.6	-	19	-	
Carbohydrate Metabolism	Metabolism of carbohydrate	-2.3	-	90	-	
Nucleic Acid Metabolism	Metabolism of nucleic acid component or derivative	-4.0	-	66	-	
Protein Synthesis	Metabolism of peptide	-2.0	-2.2	32	24	
Amino Acid Metabolism, Post-Translational Modification, Small Molecule Biochemistry	Phosphorylation of L-serine	-	-2.2	-	5	
Post-Translational Modification	Phosphorylation of protein	-2.8	-	88	-	
Cellular Growth and Proliferation, Connective Tissue Development and Function, Tissue Development	Proliferation of connective tissue cells	-2.3	-	79	-	
DNA Replication, Recombination, and Repair	Repair of DNA	-3.6	-	50	-	
Energy Production, Nucleic Acid Metabolism, Small Molecule Biochemistry	Synthesis of ATP	-3.1	-	25	-	
Nucleic Acid Metabolism, Small Molecule Biochemistry	Synthesis of purine nucleotide	-3.6	-2.4	36	22	
Gene Expression	Transactivation	-3.0	-	77	-	
Gene Expression, Protein Synthesis	Translation of RNA	2.5	-	35	-	
Molecular Transport	Transport of molecule	-	-3.0	-	126	

Table 4. Biological functions in MII oocytes relative to GV oocytes during embryonic diapause and reactivation.

Table 5. Canonical pathways in MII oocytes relative to GV oocytes during embryonic diapause and reactivation

Canonical Pathways	Z-score MII vs. GV reactivation	Z-score мшvs. GV diapause
Acute Myeloid Leukaemia Signalling	-2.1	-
α-Adrenergic Signalling	-2.3	-2.2
Aldosterone Signalling in Epithelial Cells	-	-2.4
BMP signalling pathway	-2.9	-2.4
CD40 Signalling	-2.5	-2.5
Cysteine Biosynthesis III (mammalia)	-2.4	-
D-myo-inositol-5-phosphate Metabolism	-2.1	-
EIF2 Signalling	-2.6	-
ErbB Signalling	-2.7	-2.8
Gluconeogenesis I	-2.4	-
Glycolysis I	-2.4	-
Fatty Acid β-oxidation I	-2.5	-2.0
Gα12/13 Signalling	-3.0	-2.5
IL-1 Signalling	-3.2	-2.8
Ketolysis	-2.0	-
IL-6 Signalling	-3.1	-3.6
iNOS Signalling	-2.0	-2.4
LPS-stimulated MAPK Signalling	-3.1	-3.2
Methionine Degradation I (to Homocysteine)	-2.4	-
Melatonin Signalling	-2.9	-2.4
NGF Signalling	-3.1	-2.8
NRF2-mediated Oxidative Stress Response	-3.7	-3.2
Oxidative Phosphorylation	-4.7	-3.0
PFKFB4 Signalling Pathway	-3.3	-2.4
3-phosphoinositide Degradation	-2.5	-
PI3K Signalling in B Lymphocytes	-2.8	-2.6
PPAR Signalling	2.1	2.5
Pyridoxal 5'-phosphate Salvage Pathway	-2.3	-2.3
Pyrimidine Ribonucleotides De Novo Biosynthesis	-3.0	-2.0
Rac Signalling	-3.5	-2.8

apause	DOI: 10.1530/biosciprocs.10.015	
-2.1	-2.0	
-2.8	-	
-3.0	-2.7	
-2.5	-3.3	
-	-2.4	
-2.6	-2.0	
-2.5	-2.3	
-2.4	-	
-2.0	-2.0	
	-2.1 -2.8 -3.0 -2.5 - -2.6 -2.5 -2.4 -2.0	

Conclusions

The present study provides novel insights into ovarian and oocyte physiology in the roe deer. We have observed that ovarian antral follicle number decreased after embryonic reactivation had occurred. Thus, reactivation might be associated with reduced oocyte quality, reflected by the lower number of oocytes suitable for IVM and oocytes capable to reach the MII stage. A deeper view to the oocyte quality as seen from RNA-Seq data revealed differential gene expression between oocytes retrieved during embryonic diapause and after reactivation. The findings could potentially be related to extended lifespan and diminished senescence of the oocyte during embryonic diapause and alleviation of cellular stress after meiotic resumption (see Figure 8). For the interpretation of the present results caution is needed. Since does exhibit high fertility rates, sampling of confirmed non-pregnant animals is not possible under hunting conditions. Therefore, seasonality effects cannot be excluded. Furthermore, the follicle counts were performed visually, which might lead to underestimation of the exact number and size of present antral follicles. Additionally, the time between fertilization and sample collection cannot be estimated, adding high variability to the sampling process. A validation of the discovered effects together with further analyses might provide novel quality markers.



Figure 8. Potential mechanisms of the embryonic diapause and reactivation periods on the antral follicular count and oocyte quality.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest that could be perceived as prejudicing the impartiality of the research reported.

Funding

This study was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation SNSF (31003A_159734 and 310030_185026).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the local hunters in Switzerland and Southern Germany and all people involved in the sampling process for the help in the development of this project. The authors are active participants of the COST Action CA16119 (CellFit In vitro 3D total cell guidance and fitness).

References

[1] Fenelon JC, Banerjee A and Murphy BD. 2014 Embryonic diapause: development on hold. The International Journal of Developmental Biology 58(2-4) 163-74.

[2] Renfree MB and Fenelon JC. 2017 The enigma of embryonic diapause. Development 144(18) 3199-210.

[3] Flint AP, Krzywinski A, Sempere AJ, Mauget R and Lacroix A. 1994 Luteal oxytocin and monoestry in the roe deer Capreolus capreolus. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility 101(3) 651-6.

[4] Strandgaard H. 1972 The Roe Deer (Capreolus capreolus): population at Kalø and the factors regulating its size. Danish Review of Game Biology 7 1-205.

[5] Sempéré AJ, Blanvillain C, Mauget R, Lacroix A and Chemineau P. 1995 Effects of melatonin implantation or artificial long days on seasonal ovulatory activity in roe deer (Capreolus capreolus L.). Animal Reproduction Science 38(1) 127-36.

[6] Hoffmann B, Barth D and Karg H. 1978 Progesterone and estrogen levels in peripheral plasma of the pregnant and nonpregnant roe deer (Capreolus capreolus). Biology of Reproduction 19(5) 931-5.

Schams D, Barth D and Karg H. LH, 1980 FSH and progesterone concentrations in peripheral plasma [7] of the female roe deer (Capreolus capreolus) during the rutting season. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility 60(1) 109-14.

Aitken RJ. 1974 Delayed Implantation in Roe deer (Capreolus-capreolus). Journal of Reproduction [8] and Fertility 39 225.

Lambert RT, Ashworth CJ, Beattie L, Gebbie FE, Hutchinson JS, Kyle DJ, et al. 2001 Temporal [9] changes in reproductive hormones and conceptus-endometrial interactions during embryonic diapause and reactivation of the blastocyst in European roe deer (Capreolus capreolus). Reproduction 121(6) 863-71.

Guo J, Zhang T, Guo Y, Sun T, Li H, Zhang X, et al. 2018 Oocyte stage-specific effects of MTOR [10] determine granulosa cell fate and oocyte quality in mice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115(23) E5326.

Kogasaka Y, Hoshino Y, Hiradate Y, Tanemura K and Sato E. 2013 Distribution and association of [11] mTOR with its cofactors, raptor and rictor, in cumulus cells and oocytes during meiotic maturation in mice. Molecular Reproduction and Development 80(4) 334-48.

Miles JR, McDaneld TG, Wiedmann RT, Cushman RA, Echternkamp SE, Vallet JL, et al. 2012 [12] MicroRNA expression profile in bovine cumulus-oocyte complexes: possible role of let-7 and miR-106a in the development of bovine oocytes. Animal Reproduction Science 130(1-2) 16-26.

[13] Cheng Y, Kim J, Li XX and Hsueh AJ. 2015 Promotion of ovarian follicle growth following mTOR activation: synergistic effects of AKT stimulators. PloS one 10(2) e0117769-e.

[14] Shimamoto S, Nishimura Y, Nagamatsu G, Hamada N, Kita H, Hikabe O, et al. 2019 Hypoxia induces the dormant state in oocytes through expression of Foxo3. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 116(25) 12321.

Liu JP. 2017 Aging mechanisms and intervention targets. Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology & [15] Physiology 44 Suppl 1 3-8.

Drews B, Rudolf Vegas A, van der Weijden VA, Milojevic V, Hankele AK, Schuler G, et al. 2019 Do [16] ovarian steroid hormones control the resumption of embryonic growth following the period of diapause in roe deer (Capreolus capreolus)? Reproductive Biology 19(2) 149-57.

[17] Balen AH, Laven JS, Tan SL and Dewailly D. 2003 Ultrasound assessment of the polycystic ovary: international consensus definitions. Human Reproduction Update 9(6) 505-14.

[18] Zaraza J, Oropeza A, Velazquez MA, Korsawe K, Herrmann D, Carnwath JW, et al. 2010 Developmental competence and mRNA expression of preimplantation in vitro-produced embryos from prepubertal and postpubertal cattle and their relationship with apoptosis after intraovarian administration of IGF-1. Theriogenology 74(1) 75-89.

[19] Picelli S, Faridani OR, Bjorklund AK, Winberg G, Sagasser S and Sandberg R. 2014 Full-length RNAseq from single cells using Smart-seq2. Nature Protocols 9(1) 171-81.

[20] Krueger F. 2015 Trim galore - A wrapper tool around Cutadapt and FastQC to consistently apply quality and adapter trimming to FastQ files. www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/

[21] Patro R, Duggal G and Kingsford C. 2015 Salmon: Accurate, versatile and ultrafast quantification from RNA-seq data using lightweight-alignment. *bioRxiv* 021592.

[22] Yang W, Rosenstiel P and Schulenburg H. 2019 aFold - using polynomial uncertainty modelling for differential gene expression estimation from RNA sequencing data. *BMC Genomics* 20(1) 364-.

[23] Bardou P, Mariette J, Escudié F, Djemiel C and Klopp C. 2014 jvenn: an interactive Venn diagram viewer. *BMC Bioinformatics* 15(1) 293.

[24] R Core Team. 2019 R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

[25] Driancourt MA, Thatcher WW, Terqui M and Andrieu D. 1991 Dynamics of ovarian follicular development in cattle during the estrous cycle, early pregnancy and in response to PMSG. *Domestic Animal Endocrinology* 8(2) 209-21.

[26] Imai K, Tagawa M, Yoshioka H, Matoba S, Narita M, Inaba Y, et al. 2006 The efficiency of embryo production by ovum pick-up and in vitro fertilization in cattle. *Journal of Reproduction and Development* 52 \$19-\$29.

[27] Bartlewski PM, Beard AP and Rawlings NC. 2000 Ultrasonographic study of ovarian function during early pregnancy and after parturition in the ewe. *Theriogenology* 53(3) 673-89.

[28] Asher GW, Scott IC, O'Neill KT, Smith JF, Inskeep EK and Townsend EC. 1997 Ultrasonographic monitoring of antral follicle development in red deer (*Cervus elaphus*). *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* 111(1) 91-9.

[29] Hermes R, Hildebrandt TB, Göritz F, Jewgenow K, Lengwinat T and Hofmann RR. 2000 Ultrasonography of the ovaries and uterus and grey scale analysis of the endometrium during embryonic diapause in European roe deer. *Acta Theriologica* 45(4) 559-72.

[30] Aitken RJ, Burton J, Hawkins J, Kerr-Wilson R, Short RV and Steven DH. 1973 Histological and ultrastructural changes in the blastocyst and reproductive tract of the roe deer, *Capreolus capreolus*, during delayed implantation. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* 34(3) 481-93.

[31] Zhao H, Li T, Zhao Y, Tan T, Liu C, Liu Y, et al. 2019 Single-cell transcriptomics of human oocytes: environment-driven metabolic competition and compensatory mechanisms during oocyte maturation. *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling* 30(4) 542-59.

[32] Tesařík J, Trávník P, Kopečný V and Kristek F. 1983 Nucleolar transformations in the human oocyte after completion of growth. *Gamete Research* 8(3) 267-77.

[33] Su YQ, Sugiura K, Woo Y, Wigglesworth K, Kamdar S, Affourtit J, et al. 2007 Selective degradation of transcripts during meiotic maturation of mouse oocytes. *Developmental Biology* 302(1) 104-17.

[34] Reyes JM, Silva E, Chitwood JL, Schoolcraft WB, Krisher RL and Ross PJ. 2017 Differing molecular response of young and advanced maternal age human oocytes to IVM. *Human Reproduction* 32(11) 2199-208.

[35] Jing J-S, Li H, Wang S-C, Ma J-M, Yu L-Q and Zhou H. 2018 NDRG3 overexpression is associated with a poor prognosis in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Bioscience Reports* 38(6) BSR20180907.

[36] Lombardo Y, Filipović A, Molyneux G, Periyasamy M, Giamas G, Hu Y, et al. 2012 Nicastrin regulates breast cancer stem cell properties and tumor growth in vitro and in vivo. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109(41) 16558.

[37] Zelko IN, Mariani TJ and Folz RJ. 2002 Superoxide dismutase multigene family: a comparison of the CuZn-SOD (SOD1), Mn-SOD (SOD2), and EC-SOD (SOD3) gene structures, evolution, and expression. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* 33(3) 337-49.

[38] Miligy IM, Gorringe KL, Toss MS, Al-Kawaz AA, Simpson P, Diez-Rodriguez M, et al. 2018 Thioredoxin-interacting protein is an independent risk stratifier for breast ductal carcinoma in situ. *Modern Pathology* 31(12) 1807-15. [39] Zhang T, Xi Q, Wang D, Li J, Wang M, Li D, et al. 2019 Mitochondrial dysfunction and endoplasmic reticulum stress involved in oocyte aging: an analysis using single-cell RNA-sequencing of mouse oocytes. *Journal of Ovarian Research* 12(1) 53.

[40] Norris RP, Ratzan WJ, Freudzon M, Mehlmann LM, Krall J, Movsesian MA, et al. 2009 Cyclic GMP from the surrounding somatic cells regulates cyclic AMP and meiosis in the mouse oocyte. *Development* 136(11) 1869-78.

[41] Saad N, Alberio R, Johnson AD, Emes RD, Giles TC, Clarke P, et al. 2018 Cancer reversion with oocyte extracts is mediated by cell cycle arrest and induction of tumour dormancy. *Oncotarget* 9(22) 16008-27.

[42] Li DZ, Liu SF, Zhu L, Wang YX, Chen YX, Liu J, et al. 2017 FBXW8-dependent degradation of MRFAP1 in anaphase controls mitotic cell death. *Oncotarget* 8(57) 97178-86.

[43] Ishii N, Homma T, Watanabe R, Kimura N, Ohnishi M, Kobayashi T, et al. 2019 A heterozygous deficiency in protein phosphatase Ppm1b results in an altered ovulation number in mice. *Molecular Medicine Reports* 19 5353-60.

[44] Xie Y, Li Q, Yang Q, Yang M, Zhang Z, Zhu L, et al. 2014 Overexpression of DCF1 inhibits glioma through destruction of mitochondria and activation of apoptosis pathway. *Scientific Reports* 4 3702.

[45] Kučerová L, Kubrak OI, Bengtsson JM, Strnad H, Nylin S, Theopold U, et al. 2016 Slowed aging during reproductive dormancy is reflected in genome-wide transcriptome changes in Drosophila melanogaster. *BMC Genomics* 17(1) 50.

[46] Tiwari M, Prasad S, Tripathi A, Pandey AN, Ali I, Singh AK, et al. 2015 Apoptosis in mammalian oocytes: a review. *Apoptosis* 20(8) 1019-25.

[47] Wek RC, Jiang HY and Anthony TG. 2006 Coping with stress: eIF2 kinases and translational control. *Biochemical Society Transactions* 34(Pt 1) 7-11.

[48] Yu C, Ji S-Y, Sha Q-Q, Dang Y, Zhou J-J, Zhang Y-L, et al. 2016 BTG4 is a meiotic cell cycle–coupled maternal-zygotic-transition licensing factor in oocytes. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* 23 387.

[49] Fan H-Y and Sun Q-Y. 2019 Chapter 12 - Oocyte meiotic maturation. In: Leung PCK, Adashi EY, editors. The Ovary (Third Edition): Academic Press; 181-203.